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Abstract

QUALITATIVE EVALUATION OF CFRP-CONCRETE BOND USING NON-DESTRUCTIVE AND DESCTRUCTIVE TESTING METHODS

Ankita Lad, MS

The University of Texas at Arlington, 2018

Supervising Professor: Nur Yazdani

CFRP (Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer) laminates have been used as externally bonded reinforcements for retrofitting and structural strengthening of concrete structures. The adequacy of the CFRP bonding highly depends on the bond integrity between the concrete and CFRP laminates. Considering the reliability of this bonding technique, premature debonding of laminates from the concrete substrate is a major concern. The bond performance may be influenced directly by various parameters; some of the parameters like surface wetness, surface preparation, presence of voids in concrete substrate and overhead vs on the top installation of CFRP laminate have been discussed in this study.

During the installation of the composite system, the bond can be comprised due to poor workmanship or unsuitable environmental conditions; improper cure or installation or surface preparation can cause voids, inclusions, debonds and delamination at the CFRP-substrate level.

In this study, assessment of the CFRP-concrete bond for beams strengthened in flexure was carried out. Non-Destructive evaluation methods using Ground Penetrating RADAR, Ultrasound Tomography, Thermography and Schmidt hammer were applied to detect possible disbonds between CFRP-Concrete interfaces. Ground Penetration RADAR was effective in finding the sub-surface defects that affect the bond quality, infrared thermography and ultrasound tomography could detect both surface and subsurface
delamination. Further, destructive techniques were executed to determine the type of failure in the CFRP retrofitted beams to correlate it with the quality of the bond. This study may serve as a valuable reference for optimization and inspection of CFRP-Concrete bond at the interface, using Non-destructive testing devices for practical applications.
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Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Research Scope

Over the years Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) has been used as a strengthening and repair mechanism for deteriorated concrete structures. Now, it is used for regular commercial projects by many countries. Studies show that the use of externally applied CFRP material improves the load carrying capacity, tensile strength, stiffness or ductility and fatigue behavior of the structure (Teng 2001). CFRP is corrosion resistant, lightweight and economic compared to traditional strengthening methods (Zhao and Ansari 2004). The efficacy of the CFRP highly depends on the bond integrity between the CFRP sheets and concrete. Previous research work was done to analyze the effect of interface bond on the performance of concrete structures. But, there is currently no research work done to evaluate the integrity or association of CFRP-Concrete bond. Teng (2001) states that one of the most important failure mode to be considered in CFRP retrofitted structures is the delamination of CFRP sheets from the concrete surface. Delamination of the bond between the two could be caused by various factors. Concrete surface preparation is one of the critical parameter that affect the performance of CFRP strengthened structures. The surface needs to be roughened to CSP3 profile as stated in ACI 440 (2008) to prevent adhesion failures. In addition, the concrete surface must be sound, clean, free from surface defects and dry before the application of CFRP. Wet concrete surface due to rains or water leakage might result in weak bonding or formation of bubbles, evaporation of this water by heat may trigger localized debonding. Previous research suggests that the bond performance in the presence of water degrades with the time of exposure. During strengthening of bridge girders, the CFRP is normally applied overhead. However, most of
the study conducted on small laboratory specimens involved applying the CFRP from the top. The overhead application may result in inadequate bonding because of the gravity effect. The type of epoxy and the number of layers that were used as an adhesive during the application of CFRP would affect the bond positively or negatively, depending on the application. The type of CFRP can affect the bond association as every CFRP type has different thickness and tensile strength.

CFRP being opaque does not allow for visual inspection. The CFRP-Concrete Bond can be compromised during initial application due to poor workmanship, and/or adverse environmental conditions. Thus, the bond between the two needs to be examined to ensure a satisfactory performance of the strengthening scheme. The current study has employed Non-Destructive and Destructive Evaluation that must be carried out to assess the bond between the CFRP and concrete substrate.

1.2 Research Objectives

The objective of this research was to evaluate the effect of surface wetness, concrete surface preparation, overhead versus from the top application of CFRP and presence of voids on the CFRP-Concrete Bond Strength. The subsequent tasks were carried out to achieve the goal.

1. Selection of appropriate NDE Methods for Evaluation
2. Selection of appropriate CFRP and Epoxy for the beam strengthening
3. Preparation of samples for each parameter and control group
4. Perform the Non-Destructive and Destructive Methods to assess the bond
5. Compare the performance of the sample beams with control beams, based on all the experimental data
Chapter 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) is used to strengthen the concrete structures. Numerous experimental and analytical studies show that the performance of the structure is improved after the retrofitting. The adequacy of the externally bonded CFRP strengthening mechanism depends on the Concrete CFRP bond association. Defects in the composite may be due to improper design, fabrication and/or application. In this study, we will focus on detecting the flaws during the application of CFRP. For the optimum benefit of use of the technique, a CFRP laminate perfectly bonded to the surface without any disbonds or air blisters is desired. But, during the installation process at the job site several reasons can cause bond loss. The bond between the two must be examined in order to ensure satisfactory performance of the CFRP strengthened structure. Here are the few valuable researches in the field of Non-destructive testing, that have been carried out to evaluate the bond between the two.

2.2 Non-Destructive Testing

Non-destructive Testing Methods can be applied to both new and old structures. These techniques are used for quality control or clarification of uncertainties about the quality of material or the structural integrity of materials. Sen (2015) stated that the NDT method selected needs to be able to detect a minimum delamination size. Guidelines on this size are provided in the ACI Guide Specifications (ACI 440.2R-17). The guidelines mention that for wet a lay-up installation, defects less than 1300 mm$^2$ are acceptable if the delaminated area is less than 5% and there are no more than 10 such defects per m$^2$. Delamination exceeding 16,000 mm$^2$ must be repaired by selective cutting and applying an overlapping
sheet patch. If the delamination area falls between these limits, epoxy injection repairs are permissible. Previous researchers have used many different techniques to detect the defects in the bonds. Ekenel and Myers (2011) used thermography, ultrasonic C-scan, acousto-ultrasonic, impact-echo, microwave, ground penetrating radar, eddy current and laser shearography to detect the delaminations in the Concrete CFRP bond. For the test, three RC beams were cast and pre-cracked before applying the CFRP laminates. Artificial delaminations were made when the epoxy was freshly applied, and the beams were scanned to detect and image the delaminations. These beams were tested and were found to have 9% less flexural strength and 6% more deflection than the beams without delamination. They successfully detected the location and dimension of the defects using microwave, ultrasonic acousto-ultrasonic and impact echo techniques. Microwave NDE showed the most promising results by detecting delaminations as small as 100 mm². For this study, we have used the following three NDE techniques for the inspection.

2.2.1 Infrared Thermography

Infrared thermographic inspection is a non-contact, full field, fast, accurate and reliable NDE procedure. It is based on the principle that subsurface anomalies in a material result in localised temperature difference, due to the thermal insulation of defects. Thermography senses the emission of thermal radiation from the material surface over time and gives us the rate of cooling for the material. Taillade et al. (2012) used thermography for the bridge inspection and CFRP installation located near Besancon in France. A simple setup using uncooled infrared camera coupled with a hand held thermal excitation device (lamp or an electric cover) was used. The study found wrapping and gluing defects during the installation which resulted in debonding. Valluzzi et al. (2009) tried to reveal the artificial defects created at the concrete-CFRP interface. The experiment was capable to locate the detachment area and calculate a rough estimate of the defects size on the underside
between Reinforced Concrete (RC) and CFRP. Results obtained on the beams confirmed that thermography is particularly effective in identifying actual or potential weak or missing bond areas, mainly construction or execution defects or imperfections. Mabry et al. (2015) demonstrated the internal defects in multi-layered externally bonded CFRP strengthened concrete using Pulse Phase Thermography. The use of PPT allows the determination of the layer at which a defect is present, which further complements the size and location information. A calibration approach was developed for this application that accurately located the depth of defects. Infrared thermography has been used to monitor CFRP strengthened reinforced concrete bridge columns (Jackson et al., 2000), bridge decks (Halabe et al., 2007), and reinforced concrete beams (Shih et al., 2003). In this study, infrared thermography was used to trace the disbonds formed due to the parameters in the CFRP-concrete bond. The method was adequate in determining both surface and sub-surface flaws remotely.

2.2.2 Ultrasonic Tomography

Ultrasonic tomography is a very effective technique that uses sound waves generated by transducers travelling through the material to detect the flaws. Analysis of the signals by the receiving transducer provides information about the media through which the signal has propagated. Experimental studies were conducted using guided ultrasonic waves for the damage detection on composite laminates (Su et al., 2006; Lestari and Qiao, 2005; Kessler et al., 2002; Alleyne and Cawley, 1992). Ribolla et al. (2016) used ultrasonic testing to assess the quality of bond involving automatic determination of the onset of the signal which is performed by means of the Akaike Information Criterion along with Finite Element Analysis. Continuous Ultrasonic Pulse velocity monitoring CFRP-Encased Concrete was done to carry out damage assessment by Mirmiran et. al. (2001). Ultrasonic pulse velocity
for the assessment of concrete properties by using travel time of longitudinal waves over a known distance. In this study, the Ultrasonic multi-channel pulse echo technology using 8 channels was used. One channel transmits, and the other seven channels receive the echoes. Each channel is placed roughly at 1.2 inches (3cm) and transmits in turn. A complete measurement consists of 28 A-scans. These are used to compute and display a B-scan in real-time using the Synthetic Aperture Focusing Technique (SAFT). The wave type generated by the device Pundit PL is a shear wave horizontally polarized.

2.2.3 Radiographic Imaging

A very useful method that can be used to assess internal damage in structures. The geophysical method works on the generation of pulses from the radar to obtain information of the scanned surface. It is practiced in engineering and environment surveys. Ground Penetrating RADAR can be used to collect information about different media, like soil, rock, structures water and pavements. Details about the micro cracks, delamination, voids, rebar corrosion, rebar size and depth can be found. Chen and Wimsatt (2010) used 400 MHz ground-coupled penetrating radar to evaluate the subsurface conditions of roadway pavements. Yu and Büyükoztürk (2008) studied the debonding detection of Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer (GFRP) retrofitted concrete structures using far-field airborne radar technique, integrating inverse synthetic aperture radar measurements and back projection algorithm for the condition assessment. Experiment was done to detect the rebar in GCFRP retrofitted cylinders was done using 8-12 Ghz frequency range.
2.2.4 Rebound Hammer

Schmidt Rebound Hammer is a commonly used NDE technique used for structural health monitoring. It can determine the hardness and strength of concrete. It can be used over CFRP, but it is a partially non-destructive technique when used over CFRP, as it damages the fibers of the polymer. Sanchez and Tarranza (2014) compared the results from rebound hammer test to the actual compressive strength of concrete. The results from Schmidt hammer test underestimate the actual compressive strength, thus it cannot be used as a substitute for the compression test, but it is a reliable device that can be used to assess the condition of structure.

2.2.5 Inspection, Evaluation and Acceptance

The ACI 440.2R (2017) design guidelines acknowledge the importance of Quality Control and Quality assurance program. Certain guidelines have been developed for CFRP manufacturers and installing contractors to ensure the efficacy of the strengthening technique.

There are no specific guidelines about an ambient temperature during the application, effect of water (rain) after the application of epoxy (adhesive) and the effect of upward application of CFRP laminate (bridge girders, slabs, decks) vs the downward application that is usually employed at the laboratory. It is important to determine the effect of upward application as the CFRP laminates are mainly applied on the tension side, which would be at the bottom if loaded on top. In this study, non-destructive evaluation was done to detect the flaws/defects that could be present in the concrete subsurface or in the concrete-CFRP bond due to poor installation of the CFRP laminate. In addition, destructive analysis was done to learn how these parameters affect the strength of CFRP retrofitted structures.
3.1 Specimen preparation and description

A total of 11 beams with dimensions 92 cm x 20 cm x 20 cm (8”×8”×36”) were casted using plywood forms. A cardboard of dimension 10 cm x 20 cm (4”×8”) was inserted in each fresh concrete sample to form a notch in the mid-span to ensure a failure in the mid-span during the bending test. For the casting of beams, ready-mix concrete was used to make sure that all beams have the same properties. The samples were cured for 28 days by spraying water to achieve a target 28-day compressive strength of 3 Ksi (20.7 MPa).

The CFRP laminate was applied at an ambient temperature of 60 °F (16 °C) in the absence of direct light to prevent any undesirable problems with the epoxy. The temperature was cooled down to prevent the phenomena of outgassing of the concrete, which could increase the voids under the CFRP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Concrete Mix Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse aggregate (crushed stone with maximum aggregate size 19 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine aggregate (sand)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 Beam Specimen

Figure 2 Casting of the beams using ply formwork

Figure 3 Application of Epoxy
3.2 Parameter Description

With four parameters in consideration, the primary purpose of the experiment is to detect each parameter using Non-destructive techniques. Two samples for every parameter and two beams with no parameters, serving as standard or control samples were casted. The type of CFRP used for the experiment was SikaWrap 117C and the epoxy used was Sikadur 300 and Sikdur 31. The surface roughness of CSP3 profile was achieved by sand blasting the surface of beams before the application of CFRP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Epoxy thickness</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sikadur 300</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sikadur 300</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sikadur 300</td>
<td>Artificial void size 4 no 10x10 mm² (0.4x0.4) inch²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sikadur 300</td>
<td>Artificial void size 4 no 20x20 mm² (0.8x0.8) inch²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sikadur 300</td>
<td>Artificial void size 2 no 20x20 mm² (0.8x0.8) inch²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sikadur 300</td>
<td>Artificial void size 2 no 40x40 mm² (1.6x1.6) inch²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sikadur 300</td>
<td>Surface Wetness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product A</td>
<td>Surface Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sikadur 300</td>
<td>Surface Wetness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sikadur 300 + Sikadur 31</td>
<td>Overhead application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sikadur 300 + Sikadur 31</td>
<td>Overhead application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sikadur 300</td>
<td>No surface preparation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parameters are described as below.

1. Artificial Voids

To investigate the effect of voids under the CFRP, some predetermined voids were placed at the surface of the concrete using foam cubes with the exact dimensions of the required voids. The voids dimensions were selected to represent a decrease in bond area. The allowable void size according to ACI 440.2R-17 is less than 2in² each. The void sizes are chosen in a way that the allowable void area is both spread out and concentrated at one spot. The efficacy of NDE techniques to find the voids of different sizes and their effect on the quality of bond is discussed further.

*Figure 5 Artificial voids in Beam 3*
Figure 6 Artificial voids in Beam 4

Figure 7 Artificial voids in Beam 5

Figure 8 Artificial voids in Beam 6
2. Overhead application of CFRP

For the examination of the effect of surface application on the concrete CFRP bond, overhead application of CFRP was done on the beams. It induces an effect similar to CFRP retrofit on existing structures like bridge girders or bent caps. The overhead application of the CFRP using Sikadur 300 epoxy resulted inadequate bonding. Excessive epoxy pockets were formed due to the effect of gravity and resulted in formation of bubbles. The CFRP laminate was then scrapped off the surface and a new laminate was applied using Sikadur 300 and Sikadur 31 Epoxy. The bond was observed to be much better in the latter case during visual inspection. The combination of two epoxies made the bond much stronger and eliminated the epoxy bubbles by a considerable amount.
3. Surface Wetness

To detect the effect of surface wetness on the CFRP to stimulate the effect of rains, water was applied on the surface of concrete. The epoxy was then applied on the wet concrete surface for the application CFRP laminate. Wet concrete surface due to rains or water leakage might result in weak bonding or formation of bubbles, evaporation of this water by heat may trigger localized debonding.

4. Concrete Surface Preparation

Concrete surface preparation is one of the critical parameters that affect the performance of CFRP strengthened structures. As stated in ACI 440 (2008), the surface needs to be roughened to CSP3 profile to prevent adhesion failures. In addition, the concrete surface must be sound, clean, free from surface defects and dry before the application of CFRP. For the experiment, CSP3 surface profile was achieved by sand blasting and some dirt was planted on the dry surface before the application of CFRP to analyze the effect of dirt and unclean profile on the bond. This was done to consider cases were sand blasting is done days or hours prior to CFRP application or poor workmanship. The unclean concrete surface profile may lead to imperfect bonding.

Figure 11 Sand Blasting of Beams
3.2 Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer

The Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer is a strong and light fiber reinforced plastic containing carbon fibers. Several carbon fibers are twisted together to form a yarn, which can be used by itself or be woven into a fabric. For this research, the CFRP laminate (fabric) from SikaWrap namely, SikaWrap Hex 117C was used for all the sample beams.

![SikaWrap Hex 117C CFRP Laminate](image1)

*Figure 12 SikaWrap Hex 117C CFRP Laminate*

![Concrete CFRP Laminate Bond](image2)

*Figure 13 Concrete CFRP Laminate Bond*
Table 3 Sika Standards for SikaWrap 117C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cured laminate properties</th>
<th>Design Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength</td>
<td>$1.05 \times 10^5$ Psi (724 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of Elasticity</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^6$ Psi (56,500 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation at Break</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>0.02 in. (0.51 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength per Inch Width</td>
<td>2,100 lbs./layer (9.3 KN)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Epoxy

Sikadur Hex 300

Epoxy plays a very important role in the bonding of the composite. It acts as an adhesive and holds the carbon fibers together. The fibers can also be molded using epoxy. The Sikadur Hex 300 epoxy is a high strength, high modulus and moisture tolerant impregnating resin.

Table 4 Sika manufacturer Standards for Sikadur Hex 300 (www.Sikaconstruction.com)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cured laminate properties</th>
<th>Design Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength</td>
<td>10,500 Psi (72.5 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Modulus</td>
<td>4, 60,000 Psi (3174 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation at Break</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Strength</td>
<td>17,900 Psi (123.5 MPa)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sikadur 31

To prevent leaking of epoxy from the bottom of the beams, the bottom surface of the beams was sealed with Sikadur 31, a Hi-Mod gel. Sikadur 31, is a 2-component, 100% solid, solvent-free, moisture-tolerant, high-modulus, high strength, and structural epoxy paste adhesive. It conforms to the current ASTM C-881, Types I and IV, Grade-3, Class-B/C and AASHTO M-235 specifications. It was used for structural bonding of concrete. It is an epoxy resin adhesive that seals cracks and blends with Sikadur 300 epoxy.

Table 5 Sika manufacturer Standards for Sikadur 31 (www.Sikaconstruction.com)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density kg/litre</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength</td>
<td>2,150 Psi (14.8 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Strength</td>
<td>5,300 Psi (36 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength</td>
<td>10,150-13,050 Psi (70-90 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Strength</td>
<td>3,050 Psi (21 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic Modulus</td>
<td>995-1067 Ksi (6867-7358 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesion to grit blasted steel</td>
<td>2,030 Psi (MPa)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Linear variable differential transformers (LVDT)

Linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) is a device that has an electrical transformer that is used to measure the linear vertical displacement or position. The working principle of LVDT is the conversion of a position or linear displacement with a mechanical reference
set to zero position, to a proportional electrical signal containing phase (for direction) and amplitude (for distance) information. The technique does not need an electrical contact with the displaced part, but relies on electromagnetic coupling. Figure 15 (b) shows the LVDT that was used in this research. The LVDT was clamped to a wooden block which was then clamped to a concrete block so that it reaches the top of the beam as shown in figure 15 (a). LVDT was placed on both the sides of the beam and an average of the displacements was considered to plot the load displacement graph in chapter 5.

Figure 14 LVDT
4.1 Ground Penetrating RADAR

4.1.1 Introduction

Ground Penetrating RADAR (GPR) alludes to a wide range of electromagnetic techniques (RADAR= Radio Detection and Ranging pulses), that are designed primary to locate the objects of subsurface. The objects (targets) are identified depending on their type and the material properties. The application of GPR is diverse and can be used to detect various structural objects (rebars), public utilities like drainage or sewer lines, voids in concrete etc.

![GPR Test Demonstration](image-url)
The GPR antenna transmits electromagnetic pulses into the ground which is then reflected from numerous buried objects (targets) across. The objects (targets) are visible when there is a contrast in the dielectric constant. Dielectric contrast is an indication of the speed of radar energy when it travels through the material. The speed of radar wave depends on the permittivity of the material. Dielectric constant is defined by the ratio of permittivity of the material to the permittivity of free space. For the concrete used in this study, the value of dielectric constant was 5. After the reflected waves are received by the GPR antenna, it displays them in real time on screen. The data is saved for interpretation and processing of the output. The data collection on concrete was done with a ground-coupled antenna from GSSI SIR 30. For the analysis, the orientation of the antenna was both normal and cross polarized. Figure 18 shows normal and cross-polarized antenna. The antenna used in the study was the GSSI (Geophysical Survey System, Inc.) 2.6 GHz antenna.
The output from the GPR antenna is also controlled by shape and size of the target along with the dielectric constant. The first reflection of the wave is the direct wave or direct coupling that indicates the top surface. Figure 19 shows a GPR reflection signal with direct coupling at the top of it. GPR scan data can be collected and presented in one, two and three dimensions. In this research, the B-Scan shown in figure 20 was carried out on the concrete surface. As the antenna moves on the surface, a series of A-Scans are recorded and the combination of all the A-Scans side by side is produced that is called as B-scan. GPR scanning is commonly done to produce a B-scan, also called as radargram.

Antennas

![Antenna Polarization](image)

*Figure 17 Antenna Polarization (GSSI Concrete Handbook, 2015)*

![Configuration of A-Scan](image)

*Figure 18 Configuration of A-Scan (Scheers, Bart. 2001)*
4.1.2 Evaluation

For every beam sample, the surface was scanned using a hand scanner and a 2.6 GHz antenna that can scan up to 9-10 inches deep. With normally polarized antenna orientation, two-line scans were captured as shown in the figure 21. In addition, one-line scan was recorded with cross polarized orientation along the line S1 as shown in the figure. The adjacent sides were also scanned using normally polarized hand scanner.
The 2.6 GHz antenna frequency produces an initial insensitivity of 2.4 inches (0.06 m) that is also called as the blind zone or depth of the GPR. To overcome the antenna’s blind depth, samples made of wood, foam, and concrete were placed and scans using each of the material was reviewed. Finally, the decision was made to use a concrete block of 2.5 inches height on the sample beams as an additional layer before scanning. Figure 23 shows the test setup for the GPR scanning of the beams using an additional layer. Figure 23 and 24 demonstrate the scanning of the top surface and side surface respectively.
Figure 23 GPR Scan over a sample beam using a concrete additional layer

Figure 24 B-Scan of the side of the sample beam
4.1.3 Discussions

The wave profile, frequency and amplitude of the wave was recorded using the GPR. B-scans along the length of the beam were done and the length and depth unit was inches. During the investigation of the parameters, the scans could detect the presence of voids. Other parameters could not be identified by the GPR. Figure 4-11 a shows a B-Scan of beam 1 that is the control sample. The graph shows a significant change in amplitude and the radargram shows a horizontally oriented black area, this is the interface of concrete additional layer and the beam sample. Presence of voids can be seen as a phase inversion occurs at a concrete-air interface because of the low dielectric of air. A phase inversion is a flip-flopping of the normal polarity sequence. A concrete-air reflection starts with a negative (black) peak followed by a positive (white) peak. The parabolas in the radargram show the presence of air-filled voids, the size of parabola is directly proportional to the size of voids. Although, it is not possible to determine the size of void based on the radargram. No significant change in the amplitude or radargram is visible for the parameters with surface wetness, upward application of CFRP and improper surface preparation. Thus, in this study, GPR was effective in detecting the sub-surface defects. The radargram for beam 1 was uniform on the grey scale and can be seen in figure 25. Figure 26 shows a small parabola that indicated the presence of voids. The grey scale scan is filtered in the RADAN-7 software and figure 27 shows the filtered scan. The parabola can be seen clearly in the filtered scan and is marked with an arrow. The size of the parabola depends on the size of the voids. For multiple voids, multiple parabolas can be detected.
Figure 25 Grey Scale radargram Beam 1

Figure 26 Gray scale scan for Beam 3, Void size 10mmx10mm
Figure 28 shows the gray scale scan for beam 4. The black horizontal region in the scan along the length of the beam is the CFRP-concrete interface at the additional layer. Two parabolas can be seen at a depth just after the interface which mark the presence of two voids. The scan was further filtered as shown in figure 29, for better identification of the parabolas. A corresponding change in amplitude can be seen but it is difficult to identify if the change is due to the CFRP-Concrete interface (at the additional layer) or presence of voids.
Figure 28 Grey scale scan for beam 4

Figure 29 Filtered Scan for Beam 4, Void size 20mmx20mm (0.8”x0.8”)
Figure 30 shows the gray scale scan for beam 5. One parabola can be seen at the concrete-CFRP interface, which marks the presence of a void. The scan was further filtered as shown in figure 31, for better view of the parabola.

Figure 30 Gray scale scan for Beam 5

Figure 31 Filtered scan for Beam 5, Void size 20mmx20mm (0.8"x0.8")
Figure 33 shows the gray scale scan for beam 6. Two parabolas can be seen at the interface which marks the presence of two voids. The scan was further filtered as shown in figure 34, for better identification of the parabolas. The parabolas in this scan were bigger than the other beams, which verifies that the size of the artificially planted voids is bigger for beam 6.

Figure 32 Gray scale scan for Beam 6, Void size 40mmx40mm (1.6”x1.6”)

Figure 33 Filtered scan for Beam 6, Void size 40mmx40mm (1.6”x1.6”)

Figure 35, 36 and 37 are the scans for beam 7, 9 and 11 respectively. One beam scan for every parameter is shown, as there will not be any difference in the scans. The grey scale scans are uniform. This means that there are no sub-surface defects in the beam samples. The GPR antenna 2.6 Ghz cannot identify the delamination due to presence of dirt, water or epoxy pockets.
Figure 35 Gray scale scan for Beam 9

Figure 36 Gray scale scan for Beam 11
This method was successful in detecting and quantifying the sub-surface defects only. The GPR is an expensive equipment but it can be used for many years and for multiple projects. GPR can detect the obvious flaws and voids present in the sub-surface. GPR scanning is a very slow technique although it can scan a large area in one scan. The major drawback of using GPR is that it is very difficult to place an additional layer while scanning overhead.

To inspect the CFRP laminates installed on bridge girders, manlift can be used scan the laminate surface, but it is difficult to attach an additional layer during scanning. If the scanning is done without an additional layer, voids and defects present near the laminate surface could be ignored due to the initial insensitivity of the antenna. Another alternative could be using the 1600 MHz antenna to get high resolution scans. This process is time consuming and it is not feasible to close the traffic for a long time. The calibration and evaluation of GPR scans requires highly skilled labor. Although, the scanning can be done by subsidiaries.
4.2 Infrared Thermography

4.2.1 Introduction

Thermography allows one to make non-contact measurements of an object’s temperature. An IR camera can convert thermal radiation to a visual image that depicts thermal variations across an object. Temperature is one of the most common indicators of the structural health of equipment and components, S. Bagavathiappan et. Al (2013) IR Camera has wide applications in defect detection like de-bonding, delamination, cracks, sub-surface and quantification. Infrared thermography can detect heat patterns in the infrared wave-length spectrum that are not visible to the unaided eye. These heat patterns can help identify deteriorating components before they fail.

In this study, temperature difference caused due to non-homogeneity of material helped detect voids, dirt, water, epoxy pockets and delamination. Infrared camera FLIR E60 from FLIR Thermal imaging was used for the study. This camera can detect the temperature of an object in the range of 4 °F to 248 °F (-20°C to 120°C) with an accuracy of +/- 2%. The main components of IR Camera are a lens, a detector in the form of a focal plane array (FPA), possibly a cooler for the detector, and the electronics and software for processing and displaying images. The detector type used in the camera is uncooled micro bolometer with 19,200 pixels.

Figure 37 FLIR E 60 Infrared Camera (FLIR Manual 2008)
4.2.2 Evaluation

The infrared energy emitted from the object is converted to apparent temperature and the result is displayed as an Infrared Image. To check the bond between concrete and CFRP, two tests were conducted. For the first test, the surface was heated with a light bulb for thirty seconds and images were captured before and after heating the beam with the light bulb. Due to the anomalies and disbonds, a temperature difference was being observed and was recorded in the form of a thermal image. The figure shown below demonstrates the setup for the test.

Figure 38 Test Setup using IR Camera

Figure 39 Heating the beam using bulb
4.2.3 Discussions

The results show the non-homogeneity in the concrete due to voids. The size of voids could be roughly estimated by looking at the figures. Overall, these tests could determine both the surface and sub-surface defects, and ultimately helping determine the quality of the bond. Figure 41 shows the thermograph of beam 1 after heating it with the bulb. The scale on the right-hand side of the figure shows the color coding for the temperature in °F. The beam surface looks uniform due to absence of uneven heating throughout the beam surface. For figure 42, a few bright spots can be seen which indicate a zone of higher temperature. The corresponding delamination area is calculated in the next section.
Figure 43 is the thermograph for beam 3, after heating the beam with the bulb. The uneven heating in the beam is due to the voids. The bright spots seen in the figures are disbonds due to voids. Similarly, from figures 44, 45 and 46 the voids can be found for the beams 4, 5 and 6 respectively. From the figures, it is evident that the voids have higher temperature than the concrete surface.
Figure 44 Thermograph Beam 4

Figure 45 Thermograph Beam 5
For beam 7 and 8, the presence of water during the application of CFRP causes disbonds. The bright spots that are visible in the captured figures 47 and 48 are the disbonds. The area of total delamination is calculated in the next section. By looking at the figures, it can be said that there is presence of dust or water as some spots in the captured images are heated unevenly. For beam 9 and 10, the CFRP is applied in overhead, and the thermographs shown in figure 49 and 50 respectively are captured after heating the beam. The bright spots visible in the thermograph are the epoxy pockets that were formed due to the overhead application of the CFRP (gravity effect). The epoxy pockets show highest temperature as compared to dirt and water. For beam 11, the surface was not cleaned before applying the CFRP laminate. Figure 51 is the thermograph for beam 11, the bright spots are the indication of presence of dirt.

Thus, the bright spots due to change in temperature sign imperfect bonding and presence of delamination.
Figure 47 Thermograph Beam 7

Figure 48 Thermograph Beam 8
Figure 49 Thermograph Beam 9

Figure 50 Thermograph Beam 10
The images captured from thermal camera were imported to AutoCAD 17. The images were scaled and fit into the beam’s actual size. The void area was then calculated using the area tool for every void that was visible as a bright spot. The area of all the spots was summed up manually to get the total delamination for every beam. The findings from AutoCAD are tabulated below and are compared with the actual size of void.

Table 6 Area Calculations for beams with artificial voids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam no.</th>
<th>Actual area mm² (in²)</th>
<th>Calculated area mm² (in²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>413 (0.64)</td>
<td>510 (0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1651 (2.56)</td>
<td>1764 (2.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>826 (1.28)</td>
<td>944 (4.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3303 (5.12)</td>
<td>3540 (5.48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For beams with other parameters, area was calculated using the same procedure, but the actual area of delamination is unknown. The delamination that is visible in the beams is due to presence of water, dirt and epoxy pockets formed due to gravity effect in upward application. The results for total area of delamination are tabulated as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam</th>
<th>Area mm² (in²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>92 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>460 (0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>515 (0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3675 (5.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3866 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1947 (3.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the area calculation, the next steps taken to rectify the bond so that there is no compromise in the strength. The next steps are taken in action according to the guidelines stated in the ACI 440 2R, 2017 manual. The following flowchart shows the detailed procedure of finding the area of delamination and the suggested remedies.
This method was successful in detecting both surface and sub-surface defects. The defects were further quantified and compared to the total laminate area to calculate the percentage of delamination. It is possible to detect the depth of the voids by heating the adjacent side of the beam, but it was not done in this study. This method is very cost efficient and can be used on field. The heating time will increase with an increase in the area to the examined. To inspect the CFRP laminates installed on bridge girders, manlift can be used to heat the laminate surface. Using the infrared camera, it was possible to detect the delamination for a large area in a few hours. It is feasible to shut the traffic for a few hours for this Non-destructive technique. Highly skilled labor is not required for the evaluation on field.
4.3 Ultrasonic Multichannel Pulse Echo Technology

4.3.1 Introduction

Ultrasonic multichannel pulse echo technology is a technique that uses ultrasound waves for creating images. The device used for the study is Pundit 250 array from Proceq. The device works on an ultrasonic multi-channel pulse echo technology using 8 channels. One channel transmits, and the other seven channels receive the echoes. Each channel transmits in turn. The components of the device are a touchscreen, transducer, receiver, battery and Pundit 250 Array software. The wave type used by the device is a horizontally polarized shear wave, the nominal transducer frequency is of 50 KHz shear wave and the Pulse Velocity is 200 V. Figure 53 shows the device and figure 54 shows the application of the device in this study where T stands for transmitter and R stands for receiver.

![Figure 53 Pundit 250 Array](image-url)
4.3.2 Evaluation

Pundit Array 250 is a spot damage detection device. Six spots on the beam were scanned to detect the disbonds in the CFRP-concrete bond. The location of the scans is marked as 1,2,3,4,5,6 and is shown in figure 57. The spots were chosen at the center and at the edge considering subsurface voids, potential wrapping of CFRP at the ends and other parameters. During the scanning, it must be made sure that all the eight channels touch the surface and are pressed. The gain can be adjusted according to the desired result and a gain of 34 dB was used for the scans. SI units were used for the time, depth and scan distance. The pulse velocity of the material under test i.e. concrete was assumed as 3000 m/s. The type of scan captured is a B-Scan, and it is along the length of the beam.
4.3.3 Discussions

The flaws/defects can be characterized with a change in amplitude when the ultrasonic wave travels through the surface. The scan is done along the length of the beam (x-axis) vs the depth of the beam (y-axis). In this study, SI units were used for length and depth of the scan. The beam surface is at the top of the scan and the beam bottom is roughly at 0.3 m on the y-axis. The location of the flaw/delamination can be found by checking the corresponding depth on the y-axis. A significant amplitude change can be observed in the scan due to presence of dibonds. The following are the scans for the sample beams. The
beams that show presence of voids/delamination are encircled in the scans; circle indicates presence of disbonds, which could be air filled voids, epoxy pockets, dirt or CFRP wrapping due to the overhead application. For beams with no surface preparation, significant amount of dirt is present between the CFRP-concrete bonds. The sample beams had different sizes and locations of the voids. It was possible to detect the voids but not the exact location or the size of the void.

Figure 57 and 58 are the snap shots of the ultrasonic tomography results for beam 1 and 2 respectively. No significant change in the amplitude % can be seen for these control samples.

*Figure 57 Beam 1 Ultrasonic Tomography*
For beams 3, 4, 5 and 6 the voids can be detected by the bright spots and are circled in the images 59, 60, 61 and 62 respectively. A corresponding change in the amplitude is recorded.
Figure 59 Beam 3 Ultrasonic Tomography

Figure 60 Beam 4 Ultrasonic Tomography
Figure 61 Beam 5 Ultrasonic Tomography

Figure 62 B-Scan of Beam 6 Ultrasonic Tomography
Figure 63 and 64 are the scans of beams 7 and 8. From the scans and change in amplitude percentage, the delamination due to presence of water can not be identified.
For the beams 9 and 10 with overhead application of the CFRP laminate, a significant change in the percentage of the amplitude can be seen in figure 65 and 66 respectively. The encircled bright spots indicate the presence of epoxy pockets formed due to the gravity effect. Similarly, for beam 11 the ultrasonic tomograph shown in figure 67 indicates the presence of dirt. The corresponding change in amplitude is recorded and compared to the other beam samples.
Figure 65 Beam 9 Ultrasonic Tomography

Figure 66 Beam 10 Ultrasonic Tomography
The graph in figure 68 shows the change in amplitude with respect to time for every beam sample. A change in amplitude is observed when delamination is detected at 50 milliseconds. The change in amplitude depends on the nature defect. The graph summarizes the change in amplitude for all the four parameters. The maximum change in amplitude is observed due at the FRP and Concrete interface (time 50-100 ms). The location of delamination and interface is the same. Thus, making it difficult to record the change in amplitude due to the parameters.
This method was successful in detecting the sub-surface and surface defects. The Ultrasonic tomography is a spot detection technique and is not feasible to practice on field to detect delamination in a large area. The cost of the equipment is less and skilled labor is not required for the testing. The device can detect the flaws, but it is a very slow and time-consuming technique. It can used for a small area or in combination with other NDE techniques.
Chapter 5

DESTRUCTIVE TESTS, EVALUATION AND RESULTS

5.1 Schmidt Rebound Hammer

5.1.1 Introduction

Schmidt Rebound hammer is a widely used non-destructive testing equipment that is used for estimation of concrete strength properties, asphalt and rock. Schmidt hammer is a partial non-destructive or destructive technique when used on CFRP retrofitted beams as it ruptures the CFRP fibers when it hits the surface. A rebound hammer from Proceq, namely, Silver Schmidt was used for the study. The Silver Schmidt hammer is a unique integrated concrete test hammer featuring true rebound value calculated from the quotient of impact velocity and rebound velocity to provide maximum accuracy.

![Silver Schmidt Hammer](image)

*Figure 69 Silver Schmidt Hammer (Proceq Operating Manual, 2017)*

5.1.2 Evaluation

The device was used to measure the Concrete-CFRP surface hardness. The hammer measures the rebound of a spring-loaded mass impacting against the surface of the sample. The test hammer will hit the concrete at a defined energy. Its rebound is dependent on the hardness of the concrete and is measured by the test
equipment. The test was done according to ASTM C805 standards as mentioned in the ACI 440.2R-17 guidelines.

5.3.2 Discussions

For the calculation of the surface hardness, the ratio between the rebound velocity and the impact velocity was calculated as Q. For every sample, nine points were tested as shown in figure 71, for the side with CFRP laminate on the beam and the test was repeated twice. The calculations were done according to the ASTM C805 standards and the results of the Q value (mean value of the tests) are tabulated below.
According to the results from the rebound hammer test, the control sample beams have less surface hardness than most of the parameters. The bond in control beams might have been compromised.

Beam 7 and 8 have the same parameter of surface wetness, but the surface hardness for both the beams are 50 and 68.5 respectively.

Similarly, Beam 9 and beam 10 have upward installation of CFRP, but the surface hardness for both the beams are 62.5 and 50.75 respectively.

By looking at the varying results, it can be concluded that Schmidt hammer was not a reliable tool that can be used on the FRP surface to correlate the parameters affecting the bond.
5.2 ASTM Pull off Adhesion Test

5.2.1 Evaluation

Epoxy from Sikadur was used to attach the CFRP Laminate to the concrete. ACI 440 mentions that the tensile strength of the concrete on surfaces where the CFRP system may be installed should be determined by conducting a pull-off adhesion test in accordance with ACI 503R. The determines the greatest perpendicular force (in tension) that a surface area can bear before a plug of material is detached. Failure will occur along the weakest plane within the system comprised of the test fixture, adhesive, coating system, and substrate, and will be exposed by the fracture surface. The general pull-off adhesion test is performed according to the International Concrete Repair Institute (ICRI) 310-2R (16) guidelines. It is done by scoring through the coating down to the surface of the concrete substrate at a diameter equal to the diameter of the loading fixture (dolly) and securing the loading fixture normal (perpendicular) to the surface of the coating with an adhesive. After the adhesive is cured, a testing apparatus is attached to the loading fixture and aligned to apply tension normal to the test surface. The force applied to the loading fixture is then uniformly increased and monitored until a plug of material is detached. When a plug of material is detached, the exposed surface represents the plane of limiting strength within the system.

The nature of the failure is qualified in accordance with the percent of adhesive and cohesive failures, and the actual interfaces and layers involved. The pull-off adhesion strength is computed based on the maximum indicated load, the instrument calibration data and the surface area stressed. For this study, a device from Defelsko named PosiTest AT-A Automatic was used. The device has an electronically controlled hydraulic pump that automatically applies smooth and continuous pull-off pressure. The maximum pull-off
pressure and rate of pull was recorded at two points 3 inches from the edge of the beam. The diameter of the fixture (dolly) is 2 inches.

Figure 72 Pull off Test Mechanism

Figure 73 Location of the fixture at A and B points
5.2.2 Discussions

The efficacy of the CFRP-Cement bond can be determined by inspecting the mode of failure. The average strength from both the fixtures was calculated and compared to the control beam samples. Different modes of failure are shown in figure 77. The desired type of Mode is G, which means that the bond is proper, and the failure is in the concrete substrate. If the mode of failure is A, it means that the dolly failed in adhesion (between the
dolly and the glue used to attach dolly). Mode C is also an undesirable mode and it shows that the dolly failed in adhesion (between the CFRP and epoxy used to attach CFRP; Sikadur 300, Sikadur 31). The results from Mode A and Mode C result cannot be used to determine the bond between CFRP and concrete, hence the value was ignored while taking the average. The strength for every sample is tabulated in the table below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam number</th>
<th>A MPa (psi)</th>
<th>B MPa (psi)</th>
<th>Average MPa (psi)</th>
<th>Failure Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.25 (326)</td>
<td>1.18 (171*)</td>
<td>2.25 (326)</td>
<td>Mode F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.06 (299)</td>
<td>2.3 (334)</td>
<td>2.17 (316.5)</td>
<td>Mode G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9 (134*)</td>
<td>1.8 (260)</td>
<td>1.8 (260)</td>
<td>Mode D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.27 (329)</td>
<td>3.8 (551)</td>
<td>3.03 (440)</td>
<td>Mode G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.33 (339)</td>
<td>3.75 (545)</td>
<td>3.05 (442)</td>
<td>Mode G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.03 (295)</td>
<td>2.5 (360)</td>
<td>2.25 (327.5)</td>
<td>Mode G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.65 (384)</td>
<td>1.8 (270)</td>
<td>2.25 (327)</td>
<td>Mode F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.77 (257)</td>
<td>0.5 (78*)</td>
<td>1.77 (257)</td>
<td>Mode C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.42 (352)</td>
<td>2.9 (429)</td>
<td>2.6 (390.5)</td>
<td>Mode F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.48 (215)</td>
<td>1.5 (218)</td>
<td>1.5 (216.5)</td>
<td>Mode F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.9 (433)</td>
<td>3.05 (442)</td>
<td>3.01 (437.5)</td>
<td>Mode F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*dolly fixture not attached properly, Mode A

*Figure 78 Beam 2, ASTM Pull off test Mode G*
Figure 79 Beam 9, ASTM Pull off test Mode F

Figure 80 Beam 11, ASTM Pull off test Mode F

Figure 81 Beam 8, ASTM Pull off test Mode C
5.3 In-Place Compressive strength

According to ACI 440, the in-place compressive strength of concrete should be determined using cores in accordance with ACI 318-05 requirements. The load-carrying capacity of the existing structure should be based on the information gathered in the field investigation, the review of design calculations and drawings, and as determined by analytical methods. Load tests or other methods can be incorporated into the overall evaluation process if deemed appropriate. For this study, the compressive test was done with cylinder samples to calculate the crushing strength at 28 days and at the time of the non-destructive testing. The average of cylinders was calculated and is tabulated below.

![Figure 82 Laboratory test to determine the crushing strength](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of days</th>
<th>Compressive strength (f'c) MPa (Ksi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>22.75 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>24.12 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 In-place compressive strength
5.4 Three Point Bending Test

5.4.1 Experimental setup

The purpose of the experiment was to examine the failure load of all the beam samples and compare them each other. Three-point loading test was considered to test the beams and determine the failure load. Two supports were used on each side of the beam. All supports used were made of thick steel to prevent deflection at the support. On the top center of the beam, point loading was done using the 600 kips compression machine. All the eleven beams were tested under constant rate of loading. The notch in the mid-span ensured that the failure was at the mid-span during the bending test. Data was collected from LVDT and strain gauge. The figure shown below shows the experimental setup.

![Diagram of Three-point bending test setup](image)

*Figure 83 Demonstration of Three-point bending test setup*
5.6.2 Evaluation and Results

Eleven beams having for parameters and two control specimens were tested using the 600 Kips compressive machine at the Civil Engineering Lab Building at UTA. The main causes of failure in CFRP Composites can be breaking of fibers, debonding, micro cracking of the matrix and delamination.

The failure load for every beam was recorded and is tabulated below. The modes of failure were different for each beam and are classified as shown in the figure 89. If the ends of the plate are properly anchored, then failure occurs when the ultimate flexural capacity of the beam is reached, by either tensile rupture of the CFRP plate (Fig. 2a) or crushing of concrete (Fig. 2b). For either CFRP rupture or concrete crushing, the steel reinforcement generally has already yielded at failure. Due to the brittleness of CFRP, when failure occurs by CFRP rupture, the concrete has generally not reached failure. This differs from
that of conventional RC beams, where due to the ductility of steel reinforcement, the compressive concrete generally has reached failure at the ultimate limit state of the beam. In addition, the brittleness of CFRP means that flexural failure of CFRP plated RC beams, by either CFRP rupture or crushing of concrete, displays limited ductility. As a result, failure by concrete crushing is permissible in CFRP plated beams, which contrasts with conventional RC beam design where steel yielding should be ensured to precede concrete crushing (Teng J., Chen J., 2007). The results of the test are tabulated below.

![Failure modes of CFRP-Plated beams](image)

*Figure 85 Failure modes of CFRP-Plated beams (Teng J., Chen J., 2007)*
Table 10 Results of Three-point bending test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam</th>
<th>Failure Load KN (lbs.)</th>
<th>Failure Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46.25 (10400)</td>
<td>Concrete cover separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>41.15 (9250)</td>
<td>Plate interfacial debonding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>55.6 (12500)</td>
<td>Concrete cover separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>44.92 (10100)</td>
<td>Concrete cover separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.6 (10700)</td>
<td>Concrete cover separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>44.5 (10000)</td>
<td>Plate interfacial debonding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>42.75 (9610)</td>
<td>Plate interfacial debonding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.35 (9970)</td>
<td>Plate interfacial debonding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.67 (11840)</td>
<td>Plate interfacial debonding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>54.7 (12300)</td>
<td>Plate interfacial debonding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>46.64 (9580)</td>
<td>Plate interfacial debonding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 86 Intermediate crack induced interfacial debonding
Figure 87 Intermediate crack induced interfacial debonding
Looking at the results from three-point bending test, it was suspected that the control samples were comprised. Since there was no delamination that was visible in Non-destructive testing of the control samples. The decrease in strength could be because of a lower f’c of the control samples. To confirm the suspicion, rebound hammer was used to find the f’c of the control samples. The hammer was used on the concrete surface and the results of Q values and f’c are shown in the figure 89. The compressive strength of concrete (f’c) was calculated using the formula and curve given by the manufacturer of Proceq Silver Schmidt Hammer. The curve is also recommended for use by the ASTM C805 standards. The results show that the f’c for the control samples was 2.6 Ksi and 2.8 Ksi, which is lower than the beam samples with parameters. The strength of the composite structure depends on the strength of concrete. From the ACI 440 calculations, it is evident that the total nominal strength is the sum of M_r and M_{fr}, where M_r is the design flexural strength of the section and M_{fr} is the contribution of FRP in bending.
The graph shown in figure 90 illustrates the comparison of load versus the displacement recorded by the LVDT between the control beams and the beams with parameters. The three-point bending test results we were more focused on the failure modes (delamination) of FRP. After the FRP debonding, the vertical displacement of the sample beam was noted down. The point on the graph after the CFRP debonding, showed a drastic drop in load with the same deflection. This implies that the beam is not carrying any more load with an increase in deflection. The behavior of each beam is unique and could not be correlated with the parameters. The FRP application on the beams makes them stiffer and reduces the deflection. The graph in figure 90 and 91 shows the behavior of eleven beams that can be compared to each other.
Figure 90 Load vs Displacement Graph for Beam samples
Figure 91 Load vs Displacement Graph for Beam sample
5.6.3 Discussions

1. The Load vs Displacement at midspan measured by the LVDT is shown in figure 90 and 91. The initial displacement of the beams when there is no load applied is due to the equilibrium of the compression testing machine and the friction between the Beam and the plate that is in contact with the LVDT. The initial displacement must hence be ignored. A change in displacement for a constant load of 3000 lbs is noticed due concrete losing its strength and the CFRP got engaged or in other words started resisting the forces. After the peak load, no further load can be taken by the beams and a constant displacement is observed. This is due to the delamination of the CFRP laminate. Some beams also show a post reserve strength that is shown by the behavior of the beams which resist an additional load after a drop in the displacement.

2. From the results, it can be observed that, delamination due to adhesion occurs when the void size is large than compared to the smaller void size. In addition, the failure load is lower for beam 6 as compared to beam 3. If the size of the voids is further increased, load carrying capacity might decline. The percentage delamination for the beams due to artificial voids is calculated as,

Total laminate area = 36 in x 8 in = 288 in²

Delamination area, Beam 3 = 0.64 in² i.e. 0.23%

Beam 4 = 2.56 in² i.e. 0.89%

Beam 5 = 1.28 in² i.e. 0.45%

Beam 6 = 5.12 in² i.e. 1.78%

From the bending tests results, it was observed that there is no loss of strength due to the presence of voids in the CFRP-Concrete bond. As per the ACI 440 2R-
3. The presence of water weakens the adhesion between CFRP-Concrete and causes delamination in the CFRP laminate. The following observations were made to establish the effect of surface wetness on the CFRP-Concrete bond, Beam 7 failure load= 9610 lbs 
Beam 8 Failure load= 9970 lbs, Average failure load = 9790 lbs 
Control sample average failure load =10075 lbs 
Loss of strength 325 lbs i.e 3.5%.
The ACI 440.2R-17 guidelines and manufacturer guidelines state that the CFRP application must be done in the absence or water. The effect of presence moisture (C Tuakta, O. Büyüköztürk, 2011) and effect of presence water (Wan et al, 2006) was found to have an adverse effect on the durability of the Bond between CFRP and Concrete. In this study, an undesirable mode of failure (Plate interfacial debonding) was observed along with a loss of 3.5% strength. The tests were conducted one year after the sample was cast, but it is also important to find out the prolonged effect of surface wetness on the bond.
4. For the beams, 9 and 10 the failure observed is plate interfacial debonding and it can be concluded that the bond affects due to upward application of CFRP and it must be taken in consideration while designing.
Effect of Overhead Application of CFRP
Control beams average failure load = 10075 lbs
Beam 9 & 10 Average failure load = 11870 lbs
The strength increased by 1795 lbs with respect to the control sample due to the application of Sikadur 31 epoxy. The test results from pull off test and bending
tests indicate that the resulting failures are adhesive failures along the primer/concrete interface, these point out that the quality of bond is poor. The load vs displacement data for the beams show that the beams had a good strength and stiffness compared to the other samples. The beam samples also showcased post reserve strength after the delamination of CFRP laminate. Although the bond quality was not good, the effect of application of a combination of epoxies increased the strength of the beam. Control samples with 2 epoxies must be casted and studied to evaluate the effect of overhead application of the CFRP laminate.

5. Effect of Improper surface application

For Beam 11, the surface was roughened to CSP3 profile according to ACI 440R guidelines. After the application of epoxy, dirt was planted artificially to evaluate its effect on the concrete-CFRP bond. From the bending test, the failure load for the beam with was 495 lbs i.e. 5% less than the control sample. The mode of failure from the pull off test and bending test indicates a poor-quality bond, adhesive failures/delamination along the primer/concrete interface were observed. The prolonged effect of this parameter must be considered as the dirt may induce stress in the CFRP-Concrete bond and might result in loss of strength over the years.
Chapter 6

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusion

The current research was an extension to the study “Quantitative Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) of FRP Laminate-Concrete Bond Strength” where parameters such as epoxy type, CFRP laminate type, voids and surface roughness were considered. This study was successful in determining the quality of bond using the NDE Techniques.

- Voids and improper surface profile was clearly visible in the infrared thermography.
- The Ground Penetrating RADAR shows the internal structure of concrete (sub-surface) and presence of voids.
- Ultrasound tomography depicts all the surface and sub-surface disbonds.
- Use of more than one NDE is suggested to compare and compile the findings.
- NDE can be used as an effective tool to evaluate the quality of bond between CFRP-concrete. Thus, helping us identify poor workmanship and/or environmental effects that can affect the bond.
- As the ACI 400.2R-17 does not specify the strategy to conduct Non-destructive tests, this study may serve as a valuable reference for optimization and inspection of CFRP-Concrete bond at the interface, using Non-destructive testing devices for practical applications.
- The debonding failure modes of the beam samples from the destructive tests, justify the accuracy of the NDE approach to identify the quality of the bond.
6.2 Recommendations

- The effect of additional parameters on the bond strength need to be investigated:
  - Aging of Epoxy.
  - Application of FRP to concrete at hot versus cold temperature.
  - Application of FRP at direct sunlight versus shaded area.
  - Humidity.
  - Application of the FRP on old versus new concrete.
  - Behaviour of Epoxy pockets in extreme temperature conditions

- More research is needed to study the effect of combination of all the parameters at the same time.

- Microwave NDE can be also used to detect the quality of bond as it shows promising results in finding the voids by previous researchers.

- The ground penetrating radar with antenna 2600 MHz was used. Additional antenna frequency could be used to develop additional equations. Also, the orientation of the antenna and the addition of other materials could be investigated to develop more formulas. The long-term effect of these parameters must be considered. There could be a significant loss of strength over the years due to the delamination if accompanied by cracks.

- The effect of the parameters in presence of reinforcement can be considered.
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