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# Abstract <br> PROPERTIES OF THE PINCHED TENSOR PRODUCT 

Yousuf Abdullah Alkhezi, Ph.D.

The University of Texas at Arlington, 2014

Supervising Professor: David A. Jorgensen

For complexes of modules we study a new construction, called the pinched tensor product, which was introduced in [1] by Lars Winther Christensen and David A. Jorgensen to study Tate homology $\widehat{\text { Tor. We explore properties of the pinched }}$ tensor product and their comparison to properties of the ordinary tensor product. For example; we show the isomorphisms $\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R} A\right) \cong(\Sigma C) \otimes_{R} A \cong C \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)$ where A and C are two complexes, no longer holds for the pinched tensor product. Although if we change isomorphism to quasi-isomorphism the pinched version holds. Plus if $f: C \rightarrow D$ and $g: A \rightarrow B$ are morphisms of complexes of $R$-modules with $f$ homotopic to 0 , then $f \otimes g$ homotopic to 0 , and this property is not true for the pinched tensor product.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

Tensor products of complexes is a classical construction and has been used in countless applications for many decades. Consequently, their properties are wellknown. We refer to them as the ordinary tensor product of complexes. Lars Christensen and David Jorgensen introduced in [1] a variant of the ordinary tensor product of complexes, call the pinched tensor product. They use it to compute Tate homology and to show Tate homology is balanced. Also, they show it yields a complete resolution of the tensor product of two Tate Tor-independent modules. Since it is a brand new construction, very few properties of the pinched tensor product are known. Therefore we investigate the properties of the pinched tensor product and compare them with the analogous properties of the ordinary tensor product.

In Chapter 2 we recall the definition of the ordinary tensor product of modules, complexes and maps, and give proofs of many properties for the ordinary tensor products. We also see that the ordinary tensor product is a functor of complexes, and discuss how it relates to morphisms, shift and homotopy.

In Chapter 3 we give the definition of the pinched tensor product and a precise description of its basic properties, like commutativity and associativity. Also, we compare the basic properties of the pinched tensor product with those of the ordinary tensor product, and conclude which of these properties hold for the pinched tensor product and which do not.

Chapter 4 is focus on the pinched tensor product and shift. We show that the isomorphisms $\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R} A\right) \cong(\Sigma C) \otimes_{R} A \cong C \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)$ that hold for the ordinary
tensor product no longer hold for the pinched tensor product. Although if we change isomorphism to quasi-isomorphism the statement for the pinched tensor product holds. In addition, we give some counterexamples for the isomorphisms that no longer hold for the pinched tensor product.

Finally, Chapter 5 examines two other properties: the pinched homotopy and the pinched mapping cone. We see that the pinched tensor product is a functor from the category of complexes, but we cannot extend to it a functor on the homotopy categories. Also, we give some counterexamples for the relevant properties that no longer hold for the pinched tensor product. In addition we show that the isomorphism that implies the mapping cone commutes with tensor product for the ordinary tensor product no longer holds for the pinched tensor product. However, we show there is a morphism.

## Chapter 2

## Preliminary Concepts

Unless otherwise indicated, we will assume $A, B, C$ and $D$ are complexes of $R$ modules, $R$ is an associative ring and $M, N$ are $R$-modules. We assume $M$ and $N$ are either left or right $R$-modules, depending on the context. For example when discussing $M \otimes_{R} N$ we are assuming $M$ is a right $R$-module and $N$ is a left $R$-module. The same holds for complexes. For example, when discussing $C \otimes_{R} D$ we are assuming $C$ is a complex of right $R$-module homomorphisms and $D$ is a complex of left $R$-module homomorphisms. We will find most of the definitions and results of this chapter in [2] and [4].

### 2.1 Complexes

Definition 2.1.1. A chain complex $C$ of $R$-modules is a sequence of $R$-module homomorphisms,

$$
C: \cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^{C}} C_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}^{C}} C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

such that $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{n+1}^{C} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}^{C}$ for all $n$. Equivalently $\partial_{n}^{C} \partial_{n+1}^{C}=0$, for all $n$. The maps $\partial_{n}$ are called the differentials of C .

Definition 2.1.2. Given a complex $C$ of $R$-modules

$$
C: \cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^{C}} C_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}^{C}} C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

We say that it is exact at $C_{n}$ if $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{n+1}^{C}=\operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}^{C}$. Moreover, we say $C$ is an exact sequence if $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{n+1}^{C}=\operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}^{C}$ for all $n$.

Definition 2.1.3. A short exact sequence is an exact sequence of the form

$$
0 \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^{C}} C_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}^{C}} C_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Definition 2.1.4. Let $C$ be a complex. Then the submodule of $n$-cycles is $Z_{n}(C)=$ ker $\partial_{n}^{C}$, and the submodule of $n$-boundaries is $B_{n}(C)=\operatorname{Im} \partial_{n+1}^{C}$.

Remark 2.1.5. The condition that $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{n+1}^{C} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}^{C}$ yields for all $n$,

$$
B_{n}(C) \subseteq Z_{n}(C)
$$

Definition 2.1.6. The $n$th homology group of a complex $C$ is

$$
H_{n}(C)=Z_{n}(C) / B_{n}(C) .
$$

Remark 2.1.7. In Definition 2.1.6 we can conclude that $H_{n}(C)=0$ if and only if $C$ is exact at $C_{n}$.

Remark 2.1.8. It is known that if C and D be complexes. A chain map $f: C \rightarrow D$ takes cycles to cycles and boundaries to boundaries.

### 2.2 Functors

Definition 2.2.1. A category $\mathcal{C}$ consists of three ingredients: a class $\operatorname{obj}(\mathcal{C})$ of objects, a set of morphisms $\operatorname{Hom}(A, B)$ for every ordered pair $(A, B)$ of objects, and composition $\operatorname{Hom}(A, B) \times \operatorname{Hom}(B, C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(A, C)$ denoted by $(f, g) \rightarrow g f$, for ev-
ery ordered triple $A, B, C$ of objects. These ingredients are subject to the following axioms:

1. The Hom sets are pairwise disjoint: that is, each $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(A, B)$ has a unique domain $A$ and a unique target $B$;
2. For each object $A$, there is an identity morphism $1_{A} \in \operatorname{Hom}(A, A)$ such that $f 1_{A}=f$ and $1_{B} f=f$ for all $f: A \rightarrow B ;$
3. Composition is associative: given morphisms $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D$, then $h(g f)=$ $(h g) f$.

Definition 2.2.2. If $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are categories, then a covariant functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a function such that

1. If $A \in \operatorname{obj}(\mathcal{C})$ then $F(A) \in \operatorname{obj}(\mathcal{D})$.
2. If $f: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then $F(f): F(A) \rightarrow F\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathcal{D}$.
3. If $A \xrightarrow{f} A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{g} A^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ then $F(A) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F\left(A^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{F(g)} F\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and $F(g f)=$ $F(g) F(f)$.
4. $F\left(1_{A}\right)=1_{F(A)}$ for every $A \in \operatorname{obj}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.2.3. A contravariant functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are categories, is a function such that

1. If $C \in \operatorname{obj}(\mathcal{C})$ then $F(C) \in \operatorname{obj}(\mathcal{D})$.
2. If $f: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then $F(f): F\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow F(C)$ in $\mathcal{D}$ (note the reversal of arrows).
3. If $C \xrightarrow{f} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{g} C^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ then $F\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right) \xrightarrow{F(g)} F\left(C^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(C)$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and $F(g f)=$ $F(f) F(g)$.
4. $F\left(1_{A}\right)=1_{F(A)}$ for every $A \in \operatorname{obj}(\mathcal{C})$.

### 2.3 Tensor Products

### 2.3.1 Tensor Products of Modules

Definition 2.3.1. Let $R$ be a ring, let $M$ be a right $R$-module, let $N$ be a left $R$ module, and let $G$ be an additive abelian group. A function $f: M \times N \rightarrow G$ is called $R$-biadditive if for all $m, m^{\prime} \in M, n, n^{\prime} \in N$, and $r \in R$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(m+m^{\prime}, n\right) & =f(m, n)+f\left(m^{\prime}, n\right) \\
f\left(m, n+n^{\prime}\right) & =f(m, n)+f\left(m, n^{\prime}\right) \\
f(m r, n) & =f(m, r n)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.3.2. Given a ring $R$ and $R$-modules $M$ and $N$, their tensor product is an abelian group $M \otimes_{R} N$ together with an $R$-biadditive function

$$
\tau: M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_{R} N
$$

such that the following universal mapping property holds: for every abelian group $G$ and every $R$-biadditive function $\varphi: M \times N \rightarrow G$, there exists a unique map $\phi:$ $M \otimes_{R} N \rightarrow G$ making the following diagram commute.


That is, $\varphi=\phi \tau$.

Proposition 2.3.3. If $R$ is a ring, and $M$ is a right $R$-module and $N$ is a left $R$ module, then their tensor product exists.

Proof: let $F$ be the free abelian group with basis $M \times N$ : that is, $F$ is free on all ordered pairs $(m, n)$, where $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. Define $S$ to be the subgroup of $F$ generated by all elements of the following three types;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(m, n+n^{\prime}\right)-(m, n)-\left(m, n^{\prime}\right) \\
\left(m+m^{\prime}, n\right)-(m, n)-\left(m^{\prime}, n\right) \\
(m r, n)-(m, r n)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $m \in M,, n \in N$ and $r \in R$. Define $M \otimes_{R} N=F / S$, denote the $\operatorname{coset}(m, n)+S$ by $m \otimes n$, and define $\tau: M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_{R} N$ by $\tau((m, n))=m \otimes n$. It is now obvious that $\tau$ is $R$-biadditive since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(m+m^{\prime}, n\right) & =\left(m+m^{\prime} \otimes n\right) \\
& =(m \otimes n)+\left(m^{\prime} \otimes n\right) \\
& =\tau(m, n)+\tau\left(m^{\prime}, n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for the other properties. We now need to verify the universal mapping properties. Let $G$ be an abelian group and $\varphi: M \times N \rightarrow G$ be an $R$-biadditive function. Define $\Psi: F \rightarrow G$ by $\Psi((m, n))=\varphi((m, n))$ and extend by linearity. Since $\varphi$ is $R$-biadditive we have $\Psi(S)=0$, and therefore by the first isomorphism theorem there exists a well-defined homomorphism $\phi: M \otimes_{R} N \rightarrow G$ with $\phi(m \otimes n)=\varphi((m, n))$. Also $(\phi \tau)((m, n))=\phi((m \otimes n))=\varphi(m, n)$, therefore $\varphi \tau=f$ exists. Finally, suppose that $\phi^{\prime}: M \otimes_{R} N \rightarrow G$ satisfies, $\phi^{\prime} \tau=\varphi$. Then $\phi((m \otimes n)=\phi(\tau(m, n))=\varphi(m, n)=$ $\phi^{\prime}(\tau(m, n))=\phi^{\prime}((m \otimes n))$, thus $\phi^{\prime}=\phi$.

Proposition 2.3.4. The tensor product $M \otimes_{R} N$ is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof: Assume that $M \otimes_{R} N$ and $\left(M \otimes_{R} N\right)^{\prime}$ are two abelian groups satisfying the definition of the tensor product. Then we have


And,


It is clear that $\varphi^{\prime} \varphi=\operatorname{Id}_{M \otimes_{R} N}$. Similar work shows $\varphi \varphi^{\prime}=\operatorname{Id}_{\left(M \otimes_{R} N\right)^{\prime}}$. Therefore $\varphi^{\prime}$ and $\varphi$ are isomorphisms.

Remark 2.3.5. 1. Since $M \otimes_{R} N$ is generated by the elements of the form $m \otimes n$, every $x \in M \otimes_{R} N$ has the finite sum form

$$
x=\sum_{i} m_{i} \otimes n_{i} .
$$

2. The tensor product of two elements of $M$ and $N$ is bilinear by Definition 2.3.2 which means we have $\left(m+m^{\prime}\right) \otimes n=m \otimes n+m^{\prime} \otimes n, m \otimes\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)=m \otimes n+m \otimes n^{\prime}$ and $m r \otimes n=m \otimes r n$ for all $m, m^{\prime} \in M, n, n^{\prime} \in N$ and $r \in R$. When $R$ is commutative, we also have $r(m \otimes n)=r m \otimes n=m \otimes r n$ in $M \otimes N$
3. From 2, the expression for $x \in M \otimes_{R} N$ is not necessarily unique; for example for all $m, m^{\prime} \in M, n, n^{\prime} \in N$ and $r \in R$ we have,

$$
m r \otimes n-m \otimes r n=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m+m^{\prime}\right) \otimes n-m \otimes n-m^{\prime} \otimes n=0 \\
& m \otimes\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)-m \otimes n-m \otimes n^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The following Propositions are basic facts, using the universal mapping property.
Proposition 2.3.6. Assume $R$ is commutative. For any $R$-modules $M$ and $N$ there is an isomorphism $M \otimes_{R} N \cong N \otimes_{R} M$.

Proof: The proof is well-known. ( Proposition 2.56 in [2].)

Proposition 2.3.7. Let $M$ be right $R$-module. Then

$$
M \otimes_{R} R \cong M
$$

Proof: The proof is well-known. ( Proposition 2.58 in [2].)

### 2.3.2 Tensor Products of Complexes

Definition 2.3.8. The tensor product $C \otimes_{R} D$ over $R$ of chain complexes $C$ and $D$ is specified by letting

$$
\left(C \otimes_{R} D\right)_{n}=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{i} \otimes_{R} D_{n-i}
$$

The differential is defined by

$$
\partial_{n}^{C \otimes D}(c \otimes d)=\partial_{i}^{C}(c) \otimes d+(-1)^{i} c \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{D}(d)
$$

for $c \in C_{i}$ and $d \in D_{n-i}$. The sign $(-1)^{i}$ ensures that $\partial_{n}^{C \otimes D} \partial_{n+1}^{C \otimes D}=0$ for all $n$.

Definition 2.3.9. Let $C$ be complex, Then $C_{\geqslant n}$ is defined by

$$
\left(C_{\geqslant n}\right)_{i}= \begin{cases}C_{i} & \text { for } i \geqslant n \\ 0 & \text { for } i<n\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{i}^{C \circledast n}= \begin{cases}\partial_{i}^{C} & \text { for } i>n \\ 0 & \text { for } i \leqslant n\end{cases}
$$

Similarly, $C_{\leqslant n}$ is defined by

$$
\left(C_{\leqslant n}\right)_{i}= \begin{cases}C_{i} & \text { for } i \leqslant n \\ 0 & \text { for } i>n\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{i}^{C \leqslant n}= \begin{cases}\partial_{i}^{C} & \text { for } i \leqslant n \\ 0 & \text { for } i>n\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2.3.10. From Definition 2.3.8, we can see that

$$
\left(C \otimes_{R} D\right)_{n}=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{i} \otimes_{R} D_{n-i}
$$

is not finitely generated even if $C_{i}$ and $D_{n-i}$ are so for all $i$ (assuming $C_{i}$ and $D_{n-i}$ are nonzero for all $i$ ). Here is a picture illustrating the ordinary tensor product, where each point $(i, n-i)$ in the plane represents the tensor product $C_{n} \otimes_{R} D_{n-i}$ of modules and each line $Y=-X+n$ represents $\left(C \otimes_{R} D\right)_{n}$.


Figure 2.1: Ordinary Tensor Product

And the differentials can be represented by;


Figure 2.2: Differential on the Ordinary Tensor Product

Theorem 2.3.11. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $C$ and $D$ be complexes. Then

$$
C \otimes_{R} D \cong D \otimes_{R} C .
$$

Proof: The proof is well-known. ( Propostion 2.56 in [2].)

Theorem 2.3.12. Let $R$ and $S$ be rings, and $A, B$ and $C$ be complexes and $A$ a right $R$-module, $B$ a RS-bimodule and $C$ a left $S$-module. Then

$$
\left(A \otimes_{R} B\right) \otimes_{S} C \cong A \otimes_{R}\left(B \otimes_{S} C\right)
$$

Proof: The proof is well-known. ( Proposition 2.57 in [2].)

Proposition 2.3.13. Let $C$ be a complex. Then

$$
C \otimes_{R} R \cong C .
$$

Proof: The proof follows easily from Proposition 2.3.7.
2.3.3 Tensor Products of Maps

Proposition 2.3.14. Let $M$ and $N$ be $R$-modules, and Let $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}, g: N \rightarrow N^{\prime}$ be $R$-module maps. Then there exists a homomorphism of abelian groups $f \otimes g$ : $M \otimes_{R} N \rightarrow M^{\prime} \otimes_{R} N^{\prime}$ defined by $(f \otimes g)(m \otimes n)=f(m) \otimes g(n)$ for all $n \in N, m \in M$.

Proof: The function $\varphi: M \times N \rightarrow M^{\prime} \otimes_{R} N^{\prime}$, given by $(m, n) \rightarrow f(m) \otimes g(n)$, is an $R$-biadditive function. For example,

$$
\varphi:(m r, n) \rightarrow f(m r) \otimes g(n)=f(m) r \otimes g(n)
$$

and

$$
\varphi:(m, r n) \rightarrow f(m) \otimes g(r n)=f(m) \otimes r g(n) ;
$$

these are equal because of the identity $m^{\prime} r \otimes n^{\prime}=m^{\prime} \otimes r n^{\prime}$ in $M^{\prime} \otimes_{R} N^{\prime}$. The biadditive function $\varphi$ yields a unique homomorphism $M \otimes_{R} N \rightarrow M^{\prime} \otimes_{R} N^{\prime}$ taking $m \otimes n \rightarrow f(m) \otimes$
$g(n)$.

Corollary 2.3.15. If $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ and $g: N \rightarrow N^{\prime}$ are, respectively, isomorphisms of right and left $R$-modules, then $f \otimes g: M \otimes_{R} N \rightarrow M^{\prime} \otimes_{R} N^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups.

Proof: It is easy to see that $f \otimes 1_{N}$ is an isomorphism since $f$ is and, similarly, $1_{M} \otimes g$ is an isomorphism. Then we have $f \otimes g=\left(f \otimes 1_{N}\right)\left(1_{M} \otimes g\right)$. Therefore, $f \otimes g$ is an isomorphism, being the composite of isomorphisms.

Theorem 2.3.16. Let $M$ be a right $R$-module, and let

$$
N^{\prime} \xrightarrow{i} N \xrightarrow{p} N^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

be an exact sequence of left $R$-modules. Then

$$
M \otimes_{R} N^{\prime} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes i} M \otimes_{R} N \xrightarrow{1 \otimes p} M \otimes_{R} N^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups.

Proof: We must check three things

1. $\operatorname{Im}(1 \otimes i) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}(1 \otimes p)$.

It suffices to prove that the composite is 0 . We have $(1 \otimes p)(1 \otimes i)=1 \otimes p i=$ $1 \otimes 0=0$.
2. $\operatorname{ker}(1 \otimes p) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(1 \otimes i)$.

Let $E=\operatorname{Im}(1 \otimes i)$. By part $(i), E \subseteq \operatorname{ker}(1 \otimes p)$, and so $1 \otimes p$ induces a map $p^{\prime}:(M \otimes N) / E \rightarrow M \otimes N^{\prime \prime}$ with

$$
p^{\prime}: m \otimes n+E \rightarrow m \otimes p n,
$$

where $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. Now if $\pi: M \otimes N \rightarrow(M \otimes N) / E$ is the natural map, then $p^{\prime} \pi=1 \otimes p$, for both send $m \otimes n \rightarrow m \otimes p n$ where $n \in N$ and $m \in M$.


Suppose we show that $p^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism. Then $\operatorname{ker}(1 \otimes p)=\operatorname{ker}\left(p^{\prime} \pi\right)=\operatorname{ker}(\pi)=E=\operatorname{Im}(1 \otimes i)$, and we are done. To see that $p^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism, we construct its inverse $M \otimes N^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow(M \otimes N) / E$ as follows. If $n^{\prime \prime} \in N^{\prime \prime}$, there is $n \in N$ with $p n=n^{\prime \prime}$, because p is surjective; let

$$
f:\left(m, n^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow m \otimes n
$$

Now $f$ is well-defined: if $p n_{1}=n^{\prime \prime}$, then $p\left(n-n_{1}\right)=0$ and $n-n_{1} \in \operatorname{ker} p=\operatorname{Im} i$. Thus there is $n^{\prime} \in N^{\prime}$ with $i n^{\prime}=n-n_{1}$, and hence $m \otimes\left(n-n_{1}\right)=a \otimes i n^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Im}(1 \otimes i)=$ $E$. Clearly, $f$ is $R$-biadditive, and so the definition of tensor product gives a homomorphism $f^{\prime}: M \otimes N^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow(M \otimes N) / E$ with $f^{\prime}\left(m \otimes n^{\prime \prime}\right)=m \otimes n+E$, and $f^{\prime}$ is the inverse of $P^{\prime}$.
3. $1 \otimes p$ is surjective.

If $\sum m_{i} \otimes n_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in M \otimes N^{\prime \prime}$, then there exist $n_{i} \in N$ with $p n_{i}=n_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for all $i$, for $p$ is subjective. But $1 \otimes p: \sum m_{i} \otimes n_{i} \rightarrow \sum m_{i} \otimes p n_{i}=\sum m_{i} \otimes n_{i}^{\prime \prime}$.

A similar statement holds for the functor $\square \otimes_{R} N:$ if N is a left $R$-module and $M^{\prime} \xrightarrow{l}$ $M \xrightarrow{p} M^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of right R -modules, then the sequence

$$
M^{\prime} \otimes_{R} N \xrightarrow{i \otimes 1} M \otimes_{R} N \xrightarrow{p \otimes 1} M^{\prime \prime} \otimes_{R} N \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact.

### 2.4 Ordinary Tensor Product and Morphisms

Definition 2.4.1. A morphism, or a degree zero chain map, $f: C \rightarrow D$ between complexes $C$ and $D$ is a family of $R$-module homomorphisms $f_{n}$ such that each square in the diagram

commutes. In other words, for each $n$ we have $f_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}=\partial_{n}^{D} f_{n}$.
Also, if $f_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow D_{n}$ is an isomorphism for all $n$ then, $C$ and $D$ are said to be isomorphic, denoted by $\cong$.

Example 2.4.2. Suppose $M$ and $N$ are two modules and $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a homomorphism. Then the following diagram obviously commutes

and so is an example of a morphism of complexes.

Definition 2.4.3. Let $C$ and $D$ be complexes. A degree $d$ chain map $f: C \rightarrow D$ is a family of maps $f_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow D_{n+d}$ such that $f_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}=(-1)^{d} \partial_{n+d}^{D} f_{n}$, which is expressed by the diagram:


Proposition 2.4.4. Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ and $g: A \rightarrow B$ be morphism of complexes, then there exists a morphism of complexes $f \otimes g: C \otimes_{R} A \rightarrow D \otimes_{R} B$ defined by $(f \otimes g)_{n}(c \otimes a)=f_{i}(c) \otimes g_{n-i}(a)$ for $c \otimes a \in C_{i} \otimes A_{n-i}$.

Proof: The goal is to define $(f \otimes g)$ in each degree and show each square commutes


Then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the diagram will be


Let $c \otimes a \in C_{n-i} \otimes_{R} A_{i}$ then we will have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes B}\right)(f \otimes g)_{n}(c \otimes a) & =\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes B}\right)\left(f_{n-i}(c) \otimes g_{i}(a)\right) \\
& =\partial_{n-i}^{D}\left(f_{n-i}(c)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a)+(-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i}(c) \otimes \partial_{i}^{B}\left(g_{i}(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f \otimes g)_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =(f \otimes g)_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{n-i} c \otimes \partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =f_{n-i-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a)+(-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i}(c) \otimes g_{i-1}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since both $f$ and $g$ are morphisms, we have,
$f_{n-i-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)\right)=\partial_{n-i}^{D}\left(f_{n-i}(c)\right)$, and $\partial_{i}^{B}\left(g_{i}(a)\right)=g_{i-1}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right)$. Therefore, $\partial_{n}^{D \otimes B}(f \otimes g)_{n}=$ $(f \otimes g)_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes A}$.

Corollary 2.4.5. Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ be morphism of complexes, then there exist a morphism of complexes $(f \otimes g):(C \otimes A) \rightarrow\left(D \otimes_{R} A\right)$ defined by $\left(f_{i} \otimes_{R} \mathrm{Id}\right)(c \otimes a)=f_{i}(c) \otimes a$.

Proof: Let $g=\mathrm{Id}_{A}$ in the previous Proposition 2.4.4.

Theorem 2.4.6. Let $C$ and $D$ be complexes. Then,

$$
C \otimes_{R}\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} D_{i}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I}\left(C \otimes_{R} D_{i}\right) .
$$

Proof: The proof is well-known.( Theorem 2.65 in [2] .)

Theorem 2.4.7. Let $C$ and $D$ be complexes. Then,

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} C_{i}\right) \otimes_{R} D \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I}\left(C_{i} \otimes_{R} D\right) .
$$

Proof: The proof is well-known.

### 2.5 Ordinary Tensor Product and Shift

Definition 2.5.1. Let $C$ be a complex of $R$-modules. Then the Shift of $C, \Sigma C$ is complex of $R$-modules defined by $(\Sigma C)_{n}=C_{n-1}$, and $\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C}=-\sigma_{n-2} \partial_{n-1}^{C} \sigma_{n-1}^{-1}$ for all $n$. Also, the canonical map $\sigma: C \rightarrow \Sigma C$ is obtained by shifting degrees of elements,
specifically, if $c \in C$. Then $|\sigma(c)|=|c|+1$.

Remark 2.5.2. Let $C$ and $D$ be complexes, then for the ordinary tensor product we have $\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R} D\right) \cong(\Sigma C) \otimes_{R} D \cong C \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)$. Since we know by definition $\left(\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R} D\right)\right)_{n}=\left(C \otimes_{R} D\right)_{n-1}=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{n-1-i} \otimes_{R} D_{i}$, $\left((\Sigma C) \otimes_{R} D\right)_{n}=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}(\Sigma C)_{n-i} \otimes_{R} D_{i}=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{n-1-i} \otimes_{R} D_{i}$, and $\left(C \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)\right)_{n}=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{n-i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{i}=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{n-i} \otimes_{R} D_{i-1}$. Since these are direct sums over all $i \in Z$, we have $\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R} D\right) \cong(\Sigma C) \otimes_{R} D \cong C \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)$.

Corollary 2.5.3. Let $f: C \rightarrow \Sigma^{d_{1}}(D)$ and $g: A \rightarrow \Sigma^{d_{2}}(B)$ be morphisms of complexes. Then there exist a morphism of complexes $(f \otimes g):\left(C \otimes_{R} A\right) \rightarrow \sum^{d_{1}+d_{2}}\left(D \otimes_{R} B\right)$.

Proof: By Proposition 2.4.4. We have a morphism $(f \otimes g):\left(C \otimes_{R} A\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{d_{1}}(D) \otimes_{R}$ $\sum^{d_{2}}(B)$. Also, by Remark 2.5.2 there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: \Sigma^{d_{1}}(D) \otimes_{R} \sum^{d_{2}}(B) \rightarrow$ $\sum^{d_{1}+d_{2}}\left(D \otimes_{R} B\right)$. Therefore $(f \otimes g):\left(C \otimes_{R} A\right) \rightarrow \sum^{d_{1}+d_{2}}\left(D \otimes_{R} B\right)$.
2.6 Ordinary Tensor Product and Homotopy.

Definition 2.6.1. We say that a chain map $f: C \rightarrow D$ is null homotopic if there are maps $h_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow D_{n+1}$ such that $f_{n}=h_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}+\partial_{n+1}^{D} h_{n}$ for all $n$. In this case we write $f \sim 0$


Theorem 2.6.2. Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ and $g: A \rightarrow B$ be morphisms of complexes of $R$ modules with $f \sim 0$. Then $f \otimes g \sim 0$.

Proof: Consider the diagram


Define $h_{n}^{\prime}=\left(h_{n-i} \otimes g_{i}\right)_{i \in Z}$. Choose an element $c \otimes a \in C_{n-i} \otimes_{R} A_{i}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{n+1}^{D \otimes_{R} B} & \left(h_{n}^{\prime}\right)(c \otimes a)+\left(h_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R} A}(c \otimes a) \\
= & \partial_{n+1}^{D \otimes_{R} B}\left(h_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}(c \otimes a)+\left(h_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{n-i} c \otimes \partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) \\
= & \partial_{n+1}^{D \otimes_{R} B}\left(h_{n}(c) \otimes g_{i}(a)\right)+h_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a)+(-1)^{n-i} h_{n}(c) \otimes g_{i-1}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) \\
= & \left(\partial_{n-i+1}^{D}\left(h_{n}(c)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a)+(-1)^{n-i+1} h_{n}(c) \otimes \partial_{i}^{B}\left(g_{i}(a)\right)\right) \\
& \quad+h_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a)+(-1)^{n-i} h_{n}(c) \otimes g_{i-1}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right)  \tag{1}\\
& =\partial_{n-i+1}^{D}\left(h_{n}(c)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a)+h_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a) \\
= & \left(\partial_{n-i+1}^{D}\left(h_{n}(c)\right)+h_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)\right)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a) \\
= & f_{n-i}(c) \otimes g_{i}(a) \\
= & (f \otimes g)_{n}(c \otimes a) .
\end{align*}
$$

Where in (1) we use the fact that $\partial_{i}^{B} g_{i}=g_{i-1} \partial_{i}^{A}$. Therefore $f \otimes g \sim 0$.

Corollary 2.6.3. Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ and $\operatorname{Id}_{A}: A \rightarrow A$ with $f \sim 0$, then $f \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{A} \sim 0$.

Proof: Repeat the same work as in Theorem 2.6.2: by replacing $g$ with identity map.
2.7 Ordinary Tensor Product and Mapping Cones

Definition 2.7.1. Let $A, B, C$ and $D$ be complexes, and let $f: C \rightarrow D$ and $g: A \rightarrow B$ be chain maps. Then for $c \otimes a \in C_{i} \otimes A_{n-i}$ we have $(f \otimes g)(c \otimes a)=(-1)^{|c||g|} f_{i}(c) \otimes g_{n-i}(a)$.

Definition 2.7.2. If $f: C \rightarrow D$ is a chain map, then its mapping cone, cone $(f)$, is a complex of $R$-modules whose term of degree $n$ is cone $(f)_{n}=(\Sigma C)_{n} \oplus D_{n}$ and whose differentials $\partial_{n}:$ cone $(f)_{n} \rightarrow$ cone $(f)_{n-1}$ is given by

$$
\partial_{n}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C} & 0 \\
f_{n-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{-1} & \partial_{n}^{D}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

A straightforward computation shows that $\partial_{n-1}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)} \partial_{n}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n-1}^{\text {cone }(f)} \partial_{n}^{\text {cone }(f)} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{n-1}^{\Sigma C} & 0 \\
f_{n-2} \sigma_{n-2}^{-1} & \partial_{n-1}^{D}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C} & 0 \\
f_{n-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{-1} & \partial_{n}^{D}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{n-1}^{\Sigma C} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma C} & 0 \\
f_{n-2} \sigma_{n-2}^{-1} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma C}+\partial_{n-1}^{D} f_{n-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{-1} & \partial_{n-1}^{D} \partial_{n}^{D}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

since we know that $f$ is a morphism and $\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C}=-\sigma_{n-2} \partial_{n-1}^{C} \sigma_{n-1}^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.7.3. Let $A$ be an $R$-complex and $f: C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism of complexes of $R$-modules. Then

$$
A \otimes_{R} \text { cone }(f) \cong \operatorname{cone}\left(A \otimes_{R} f\right)
$$

Proof: The proof is well-known.( Proposition 4.1.12 in [4]. )

## Chapter 3

The Pinched Tensor Product and Morphisms
In this chapter we give the definition of the pinched tensor product and a pre－ cise description of its basic properties，like commutativity and associativity．Also， we compare there basic properties of the pinched tensor product with those of the ordinary tensor product，and conclude which of these properties hold for the pinched tensor product and which do not．

3．1 Assumptions and Notation
Definition 3．1．1．（Refrence［1］）Let $C$ and $D$ be complexes．Consider the $R$－complex $C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D$ defined by：

$$
\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D\right)_{n}= \begin{cases}\left(C_{\geqslant 0} \otimes_{R} D_{\geqslant 0}\right)_{n} & \text { for } n \geqslant 0 \\ \left(C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{\leqslant 0}\right)_{n} & \text { for } n \leqslant-1 .\end{cases}
$$

and $\partial^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ defined by

$$
\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}= \begin{cases}\partial_{n}^{C \geqslant 0 \otimes_{R} D \geqslant 0} & \text { for } n \geqslant 1 \\ \partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right) & \text { for } n=0 \\ \partial_{n}^{C \leqslant-1 \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{\leqslant 0}} & \text { for } n \leqslant-1\end{cases}
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes the canonical map $D \rightarrow \Sigma D$ ．This is a differential on $C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D$ since

$$
\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right) \partial_{1}^{C \geqslant 0 \otimes_{R} D \geqslant 0}=0=\partial_{-1}^{C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{\leqslant 0}}\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right) .
$$

Remark 3.1.2. From Definition 3.1.1, $\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ is the differential on $C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D$. In particular, we show the previous equations. Let $c \otimes d \in C_{1} \otimes_{R} D_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right) \partial_{1}^{C \geqslant 0} \otimes_{R} D \geqslant 0 \\
&(c \otimes d)=\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right)\left(\partial_{1}^{C \geqslant 0 \otimes_{R} D \geqslant 0}(c \otimes d)\right) \\
&=\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right)\left(\partial_{1}^{C \geqslant 0}(c) \otimes(d)+(-1)^{1}(c) \otimes \partial_{0}^{D \geqslant 0}(d)\right) \\
&=\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right)\left(\partial_{1}^{C \geqslant 0}(c) \otimes(d)+0\right) \\
&=\partial_{0}^{C} \partial_{1}^{C \geqslant 0}(c) \otimes \sigma \partial_{0}^{D}(a) \\
&=0 \otimes \sigma \partial_{0}^{D}(d) \\
&=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, if $c \otimes d \in C_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right) \partial_{1}^{C \geqslant 0 \otimes_{R} D_{\geqslant 0}}(c \otimes d) & =\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right)\left(\partial_{1}^{C \geqslant 0 \otimes_{R} D \geqslant 0}(c \otimes d)\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right)\left(\partial_{0}^{C \geqslant 0}(c) \otimes(d)+(-1)^{0}(c) \otimes \partial_{1}^{D \geqslant 0}(d)\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right)\left(0 \otimes(d)+(-1)^{0}(c) \otimes \partial_{1}^{D_{\geqslant 0}}(d)\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right)\left((-1)^{0}(c) \otimes \partial_{1}^{D \geqslant 0}(d)\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right) \partial_{1}^{D \geqslant 0}(d)\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes 0\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if $c \otimes d \in C_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{-1}^{C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D) \leqslant 0}\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right)(c \otimes d) & =\partial_{-1}^{C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D) \leqslant 0}\left(\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes_{R}\left(\sigma \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\right)(c \otimes d)\right) \\
& =\partial_{-1}^{C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D) \leqslant 0}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma \partial_{0}^{D}(d)\right) \\
& =\partial_{-1}^{C_{\leqslant-1}} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma \partial_{0}^{D}(d)+(-1)^{1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \partial_{0}^{(\Sigma D)_{\leqslant-1}} \sigma \partial_{0}^{D}(d) \\
& =0 \otimes \sigma \partial_{0}^{D}(d)+(-1)^{1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes 0 \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.1.3. From Definition 3.1.1 we can see that

$$
\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D\right)_{n}= \begin{cases}\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} C_{i} \otimes_{R} D_{n-i} & \text { for } n \geqslant 0 \\ \bigoplus_{i=-1}^{n} C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-i} & \text { for } n \leqslant-1\end{cases}
$$

is finitely generated for all $n$ (Provided $C_{i}$ and $D_{j}$ are finilety generated for all $i, j$ ). Here is a picture illustrating the pinched tensor product, where each point ( $i, n-i$ ) in the plane represents the tensor product $C_{i} \otimes_{R} D_{n-i}$ of modules and $C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-i}$ and each line segment $Y=-X+n$ represents $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D\right)_{n}$.


Figure 3.1: Pinched Tensor Product

And the differentials can be represented by;


Figure 3.2: Differential on the Pinched Tensor Product

### 3.2 Properties

Definition 3.2.1. Let $g: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of complexes between $A$ and $B$. Then $(\Sigma g)_{n}=\sigma_{n-1}^{B} g_{n-1}\left(\sigma^{A}{ }_{n-1}\right)^{-1}$.


Theorem 3.2.2. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $C$ and $D$ be complexes. Then

$$
C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D \cong D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} C .
$$

Proof: By the definition of the pinched tensor product, Remark 2.3.6 and Remark 2.5.2 we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)_{\geq 0} & =C_{\geq 0} \otimes_{R} D_{\geq 0} \\
& \cong D_{\geq 0} \otimes_{R} C_{\geq 0} \\
& =\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} C\right)_{\geq 0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D\right)_{\leq-1} & =C_{\leq-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{\leq 0} \\
& \cong(\Sigma D)_{\leq 0} \otimes_{R} C_{\leq-1} \\
& =\Sigma\left(D_{\leq-1}\right) \otimes_{R} C_{\leq-1} \\
& \cong D_{\leq-1} \otimes_{R} \Sigma\left(C_{\leq-1}\right) \\
& \cong D_{\leq-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma C)_{\leq 0} \\
& \cong\left(D \otimes_{R}^{凶} C\right)_{\leq-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to show in the case when $n=0$ the diagram

commutes．This diagram is equal to：


Let $c \otimes d \in C_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0}, \tau_{-1}(c \otimes d)=\left(\sigma_{-1}^{D}\right)^{-1}(d) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{C}(c)$ and note that $\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}=\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{D}$ ． Then we have，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D}(c \otimes d)\right) & =\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{D}(a)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\sigma_{-1}^{D}\right)^{-1} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{D}(d)\right) \\
& =\left(\sigma_{-1}^{D}\right)^{-1} \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{D}(d) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \\
& =\partial_{0}^{D}(d) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\right)\left(\tau_{0}(c \otimes d)\right) & =\left(\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\right)(d \otimes c) \\
& =\partial_{0}^{D}(d) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right) \cong\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} C\right)$.

Theorem 3.2.3. Let $R$ and $S$ be rings, and $A, B$ and $C$ be complexes and $A$ a right $R$-module, $B$ a left $R$-right $S$-bimodule and $C$ a left $S$-module. Then

$$
\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\ltimes} B\right) \otimes_{S}^{\ltimes} C \cong A \otimes_{R}^{\ltimes}\left(B \otimes_{S}^{\bowtie} C\right)
$$

Proof: By the definition of the pinched tensor product and Remark 2.5.2 we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\propto} B\right) \otimes_{S}^{\aleph} C\right)_{\geq 0} & =\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\propto} B\right)_{\geq 0} \otimes_{S} C_{\geq 0} \\
& =\left(A_{\geq 0} \otimes_{R} B_{\geq 0}\right) \otimes_{S} C_{\geq 0} \\
& \cong A_{\geq 0} \otimes_{R}\left(B \geq 0 \otimes_{S} C_{\geq 0}\right) \\
& =A_{\geq 0} \otimes_{R}\left(B \otimes_{S}^{\propto} C\right)_{\geq 0} \\
& =\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\infty}\left(B \otimes_{S}^{\propto} C\right)\right)_{\geq 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\propto} B\right) \otimes_{S}^{\ltimes} C\right)_{\leq-1} & =\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B\right)_{\leq-1} \otimes_{S}(\Sigma C)_{\leq 0} \\
& =\left(A_{\leq-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma B)_{\leq 0}\right) \otimes_{S}(\Sigma C)_{\leq 0} \\
& \cong A_{\leq-1} \otimes_{R}\left((\Sigma B)_{\leq 0} \otimes_{S}(\Sigma C)_{\leq 0}\right) \\
& =A_{\leq-1} \otimes_{R}\left(\Sigma\left(B_{\leq-1}\right) \otimes_{S}(\Sigma C)_{\leq 0}\right) \\
& \cong A_{\leq-1} \otimes_{R} \Sigma\left(B_{\leq-1} \otimes_{S}(\Sigma C)_{\leq 0}\right) \\
& =A_{\leq-1} \otimes_{R} \Sigma\left(\left(B \otimes_{S}^{\ltimes} C\right)_{\leq-1}\right) \\
& =A_{\leq-1} \otimes_{R}\left(\Sigma\left(B \otimes_{S}^{\propto} C\right)\right)_{\leq 0} \\
& \cong\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\propto}\left(B \otimes_{S}^{\ltimes} C\right)\right)_{\leq-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we need to show in the case when $n=0$ the diagram commutes,

which is equivalent to

which is also equivalent to


Let $(a \otimes b) \otimes c \in\left(A_{0} \otimes_{R} B_{0}\right) \otimes_{S} C_{0}$ and $\tau_{-1}((a \otimes b) \otimes c)=a \otimes\left(\left(\sigma_{-1}^{B}\right)^{-1}(b) \otimes(\Sigma C)_{0}(c)\right)$ ． Then we have，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{\left(A \otimes^{凶} B\right) \otimes^{凶} C}((a \otimes b) \otimes c)\right)=\tau_{-1}\left(\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B}\right)(b)\right) \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}\right)(c)\right) \\
&=\left(A_{-1} \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{B}\right)^{-1} \otimes(\Sigma C)_{0}\right)\left(\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B}\right)(b)\right) \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}\right)(c)\right) \\
&=\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{-1}^{B}\right)^{-1} \sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B}\right)(b) \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}\right)(c)\right) \\
&=\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes\left(\left(\partial_{0}^{B}\right)(b) \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}\right)(c)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{0}^{A \otimes^{凶}\left(B \otimes^{\aleph} C\right)}\left(\tau_{-1}((a \otimes b) \otimes c)\right) & =\partial_{0}^{A \otimes^{凶}\left(B \otimes^{\aleph} C\right)}(a \otimes(b \otimes c)) \\
& =\left(\partial_{0}^{A} \otimes\left(\partial_{0}^{B} \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}\right)\right)(a \otimes(b \otimes c))\right. \\
& =\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes\left(\left(\partial_{0}^{B}\right)(b) \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}\right)(c)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore，$\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\propto} B\right) \otimes_{S}^{\star} C \cong A \otimes_{R}^{\aleph}\left(B \otimes_{S}^{\star} C\right)$ ．

The following Theorem is the pinched analog of Proposition 2．4．4．
Theorem 3．2．4．Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ and $g: A \rightarrow B$ be morphisms of complexes．Then there exists a morphism of complexes $f \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} g: C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A \rightarrow D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B$ defined by $f_{\geq 0} \otimes g_{\geq 0}$ ： $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)_{\geq 0} \rightarrow\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B\right)_{\geq 0}$ and $f_{\leq-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{\leq 0}:\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A\right)_{\leq-1} \rightarrow\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B\right)_{\leq-1}$ ．

Proof：We only need to show in the case when $n=0$ that the following diagram commutes．

which is equal to，


Let $c \otimes a \in C_{0} \otimes_{R} A_{0}$ then we will have，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}\right)\left(\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\left(f_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}\right)\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =\left(f_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\right)\left(f_{0} \otimes g_{0}\right)(c \otimes a) & =\left(\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\right)\left(f_{0}(c) \otimes g_{0}(a)\right) \\
& =\partial_{0}^{D}\left(f_{0}(c)\right) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B}\left(g_{0}(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $\left(f_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c)\right)=\partial_{0}^{D}\left(f_{0}(c)\right)\right.$ since $f$ is a chain map，and $(\Sigma g)_{0}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right)=\sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B}\left(g_{0}(a)\right)$ because the following diagram commutes．


Therefore，$\left(\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\right)\left(f_{0} \otimes g_{0}\right)=\left(f_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}\right)\left(\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)$ ．

Corollary 3．2．5．Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism of complexes．Then there exist $a$ morphism of complexes $\left(f \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \operatorname{Id}_{A}\right):\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A\right) \rightarrow\left(D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)$ ．

Proof：Use Proposition 3.2 .4 by replacing $g$ with the identity map．

Definition 3.2.6. Recall that a stalk complex $C$ is one where $C_{j}=0$ for $i \neq j$, for some $i \in Z$, and $C_{i} \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.2.7. Let $C$ be a complex and $M$ an $R$-module, considered as a stalk complex concentrated in degree $i$. Then

$$
C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} M= \begin{cases}C_{\geqslant 0} \otimes_{R} M & \text { for } i \geqslant 0 \\ C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma M) & \text { for } i \leqslant-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof: Regarded as a stalk complex, $M$ has the form $\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$. Consider cases $i \geqslant 0$ and $i \leqslant-1$.
$i \geqslant 0$ :
In this case we have.
$\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} M\right)_{\geqslant 0}=C_{\geqslant 0} \otimes_{R} M_{\geqslant 0}=C_{\geqslant 0} \otimes_{R} M$.
$i \leqslant-1$ :
In this case we have.
$\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} M\right)_{\leqslant-1}=C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma M)_{\leqslant 0}=C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma M)$.
Therefore,

$$
C \otimes_{R}^{凶} M= \begin{cases}C_{\geqslant 0} \otimes_{R} M & \text { for } i \geqslant 0 \\ C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma M) & \text { for } i \leqslant-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 3.2.8. Let $C$ be a complex and $M$ an $R$-module, considered as a stalk complex concentrated in degree zero. Then

$$
C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} M=C_{\geqslant 0} \otimes_{R} M .
$$

Proof: The proof follows easily from Proposition 3.2.7.

Theorem 3.2.9. Let $C$ be a complex and $A=0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$ where $R$ sits in degrees 0 and -1. Then

$$
C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A \cong C .
$$

Proof: We want to show $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A\right)_{n} \cong C$. Consider three cases: $n \geqslant 0, n \leqslant-1$ and $n=0$. $n \geqslant 0$ :
$\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)_{\geqslant 0}=C_{\geqslant 0} \otimes_{R} A_{\geqslant 0}=C_{\geqslant 0} \otimes_{R} R \cong C$, by Proposition 2.3.13.
$n \leqslant-1:$
$\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A\right)_{\leqslant-1}=C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)_{\leqslant 0}=C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R} A_{\leqslant-1}=C_{\leqslant-1} \otimes_{R} R \cong C$, by Proposition 2.3.13. $n=0$ :

In this case the diagram is


Which is equal to


Therefore，$C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A \cong C$ ．

We also have an analogous statement to Theorem 2．4．6．
Theorem 3．2．10．Let $C$ and $D_{i}, i \in I$ be complexes．Then，

$$
C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie}\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} D_{i}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I}\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D_{i}\right) .
$$

Proof：We only need to show the case when $n=0$ the following diagram commutes．


Let $c \otimes\left(d_{i}\right) \in C_{0} \otimes_{R}\left(\oplus_{i \in I} D\right)_{0}$ with $\tau: c \otimes\left(d_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(c \otimes d_{i}\right)$ and $\partial^{\oplus_{i \in I} D_{i}}=\left(\partial^{D_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)$ ．
Then we will have，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \oplus_{i \epsilon I} D_{i}}\left(c \otimes\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\right) & =\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{\oplus D_{i}} \partial_{0}^{\oplus D_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes\left(\sigma_{-1}^{\oplus D_{i}} \partial_{0}^{\oplus D_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D_{i}} \partial_{0}^{D_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\right) \tau_{0}\left(c \otimes\left(d_{i}\right)\right) & =\left(\partial_{0}^{\oplus\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D_{i}\right)}\right)\left(c \otimes d_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D_{i}} \partial_{0}^{D_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie}\left(\oplus_{i \in I} D_{i}\right) \cong \oplus_{i \in I}\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D_{i}\right)$.

Theorem 3.2.11. Let $C_{i}, i \in I$, and $D$ be complexes. Then,

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} C_{i}\right) \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I}\left(C_{i} \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right) .
$$

Proof: We do similar work as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.10.

The following proposition was originally stated as Proposition 3.2 in [1], without proof we prove it here.

Proposition 3.2.12. The pinched tensor product defined in Definition 3.1.1 yields a functor

$$
-\otimes_{R}^{\bowtie}-: \mathrm{C}\left(R^{\prime}-R\right) \times \mathrm{C}(R-S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}\left(R^{\prime}-S\right)
$$

Where $\mathrm{C}\left(R^{\prime}-R\right)$ denotes the category of complex of $R^{\prime} R$-bimodules, $\mathrm{C}(R-S)$ denotes the category of complex of RS-bimodules and $\mathrm{C}\left(R^{\prime}-S\right)$ denotes the category of complex of $R^{\prime} S$-bimodules.

Proof: Let $C \in \mathrm{C}\left(R^{\prime}-R\right)$ and $D \in \mathrm{C}(R-S)$ be two complexes, and define $F(C, D)=$ $C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D$. We want to show $F$ is a functor.

1. It is clear that $F(C)=C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D \in \mathrm{C}\left(R^{\prime}-S\right)$.
2. Let $f: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ and $g: D \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ be morphisms. Then $F(f, g)=f \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} g$ is a morphism in $\mathrm{C}\left(R^{\prime}-S\right)$.(Theorem 3.2.4).
3. Let $f: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}, f^{\prime}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}, g: D \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{\prime \prime}$ be a morphisms. Then,

$$
F\left(\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)(f, g)\right)=F\left(f^{\prime} f, g^{\prime} g\right)=f^{\prime} f \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} g^{\prime} g=\left(f^{\prime} \otimes_{R}^{凶} g^{\prime}\right)\left(f \otimes_{R}^{凶} g\right)=F\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right) F(f, g) .
$$

Therefore, $F\left(\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)(f, g)\right)=F\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right) F(f, g)$.
4. $F\left(1_{C}, 1_{D}\right)=\left(1_{C} \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} 1_{D}\right)=1_{F(C, D)}$.

## Chapter 4

Pinched Tensor Products and Shift
We focus on the pinched tensor product and shift．We show that the isomor－ phisms $\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R} A\right) \cong(\Sigma C) \otimes_{R} A \cong C \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)$ that hold for the ordinary tensor product no longer hold for the pinched tensor product．Although if we change isomorphism to quasi－isomorphism，the statement for the pinched tensor product holds．In addi－ tion，we give some counterexamples for the isomorphisms that no longer hold for the pinched tensor product．

## 4．1 Shift and Morphisms

Remark 4．1．1．For the pinched tensor product we have in general

$$
\left(\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right) \not \equiv \Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D\right) \not \equiv\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma D\right),
$$

because when $n=0$
$\left(\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)_{0}=(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0}=C_{-1} \otimes_{R} D_{0}$,
$\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)_{0}=\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)_{-1}=C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0}=C_{-1} \otimes_{R} D_{-1}$ and $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma D\right)_{0}=C_{0} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0}=C_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{-1}$.
Now if $C$ and $D$ are complexes of free modules，write $C_{-1}=R^{n}, C_{0}=R^{n^{\prime}}, D_{-1}=R^{m}$ and $D_{0}=R^{m^{\prime}}$ ，where $m \neq m^{\prime}=n \neq n^{\prime}$ ．Then we get $C_{-1} \otimes_{R} D_{0} \cong R^{n} \otimes R^{m^{\prime}} \cong R^{n m \prime}$ ，$C_{-1} \otimes_{R} D_{-1} \cong R^{n} \otimes R^{m} \cong R^{n m}$ and $C_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{-1} \cong R^{n^{\prime}} \otimes R^{m} \cong R^{n^{\prime} m}$ ．Then it is clear that $C_{-1} \otimes_{R} D_{-1} \not \approx C_{-1} \otimes_{R} D_{0} \neq C_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{-1}$ since we assume $m \neq m^{\prime} \neq n \neq n^{\prime}$ since the $\operatorname{rank}\left(C_{-1} \otimes_{R} D_{0}\right) \neq \operatorname{rank}\left(C_{-1} \otimes_{R} D_{-1}\right) \neq \operatorname{rank}\left(C_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{-1}\right)$ ．Therefore，$\left(\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right) \not \equiv$ $\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right) \not \equiv\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma D\right)$.

The following lemma is a simple fact, which we will use in the proof of the main theorem of this section.

Lemma 4.1.2. Let $C$ and $D$ be two complexes. If there exists a degree -1 anticommutative chain map $f$ from $C$ to $D$, then there exists a morphism $g$ from $C$ to $\Sigma D$.

Proof: It suffices to show that the composition

$$
C \xrightarrow{f} D \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Sigma D
$$

where $|f|=-1$ and $|\sigma|=1$ is a morphism. We have that

anti-commutes for all $n$. That means $f_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}=-\partial_{n-1}^{D} f_{n}$ for all $n$. We also have that

anti-commutes for all $n$. That means $\sigma_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{D}=-\partial_{n+1}^{\sum D} \sigma_{n}$ for all $n$. Then define $g_{n}=$ $\sigma_{n-1} f_{n}$ for all $n$


Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C} & =\left(\sigma_{n-2} f_{n-1}\right) \partial_{n}^{C} \\
& =\sigma_{n-2}\left(f_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}\right) \\
& =\sigma_{n-2}\left(-\partial_{n-1}^{D} f_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(-\sigma_{n-2} \partial_{n-1}^{D}\right) f_{n} \\
& =\left(\partial_{n}^{\Sigma D} \sigma_{n-1}\right) f_{n} \\
& =\partial_{n}^{\Sigma D}\left(\sigma_{n-1} f_{n}\right) \\
& =\partial_{n}^{\Sigma D} g_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $g_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}=\partial_{n}^{\sum D} g_{n}$.

Theorem 4.1.3. Let $A, B, C$ and $D$ be complexes, and $f: C \rightarrow D$ and $g: A \rightarrow B$ morphisms. Then there exists a morphism $q$ from $\left(\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A\right)$ to $\Sigma\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B\right)$.

Proof：By Lemma 4．1．2 it suffices to define a degree－1 anti－commutative chain map $\tau$ from $\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A$ to $D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B$ ，so that the diagram

is anti－commutative for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ．We consider four cases：$n=1, n \geqslant 2, n=0$ and $n \leqslant-1$ ．
$n=1$ ：
In this case the diagram is


Define $\tau_{1}$ to be the matrix $\left[f_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes g_{0} \quad 0\right]$ and $\tau_{0}=f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}$ ． Choose an element $c \otimes a \in(\Sigma C)_{1} \otimes_{R} A_{0}$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0}\left(\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{0}\left(\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& =f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C}(c)\right) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \\
& =f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}\left(-\sigma_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{C}\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \\
& =-f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{1}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(f_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes g(a)\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{0}^{D} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B}\right)\left(f_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes d(a)\right) \\
& =\partial_{0}^{D} f_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B} g_{0}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)=\partial_{0}^{D} f_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)$ since $f$ is a chain map，and $(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)=\sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B} g_{0}(a)$ because the following diagram commutes．


Now choose an element $c \otimes a \in(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} A_{1}$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0}\left(\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{0}\left(c \otimes \partial_{1}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A} \partial_{1}^{A}(a) \\
& =f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes 0 \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{1}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}(0) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore，$\tau_{0} \partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}=-\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B} \tau_{1}$ ，which is what we wanted to show．
$n \geqslant 2$ ：
In this case the diagram is


Define

$$
\tau_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
f_{n-1}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes g_{0} & & & 0 \\
& \ddots & & \vdots \\
& & f_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes g_{n-1} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

for $n \geqslant 2$ any element $c \otimes a \in(\Sigma C)_{n-i} \otimes_{R} A_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 1$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right)= & \tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{n-i}(c) \otimes \partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) \\
= & f_{n-i-2}\left(\sigma_{n-i-2}^{C}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma C}(c)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes g_{i-1} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) \\
= & f_{n-i-2}\left(\sigma_{n-i-2}^{C}\right)^{-1}\left(-\sigma_{n-i-2}^{C} \partial_{n-i-1}^{C} \sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes g_{i}(a) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes g_{i-1} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) \\
= & -f_{n-i-2} \partial_{n-i-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes g_{i}(a) \\
& +(-1)^{n-i} f_{n-1-i}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes g_{i-1} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{n}(c \otimes a)\right)= & \partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(f_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes g_{i}(a)\right) \\
= & \partial_{n-i-1}^{D} f_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes g_{i}(a) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{n-i-1} f_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes \partial_{i}^{A} g_{i}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $f_{n-i-2} \partial_{n-i-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)=\partial_{n-i-1}^{D} f_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)$ since $f$ is a chain map．Therefore，$\tau_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}=-\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B} \tau_{n}$ ，for $c \otimes a \in(\Sigma C)_{n-i} \otimes_{R} A_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 1$ ．

Now choose an element $c \otimes a \in(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} A_{n}$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{n-1}(0) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{n}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\partial_{n}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}(0) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore，$\tau_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}=-\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B} \tau_{n}$ ，which is what we wanted to show．
$n=0$ ：
In this case the digram is


Define $\tau_{-1}=f_{-2}\left(\sigma_{-2}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}$ ．Choose an element $c \otimes a \in(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} A_{0}$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{\Sigma C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =f_{-2}\left(\sigma_{-2}^{C}\right)^{-1} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \\
& =f_{-2}\left(\sigma_{-2}^{C}\right)^{-1}\left(-\sigma_{-2}^{C} \partial_{-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \\
& =-f_{-2} \partial_{-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{0}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\partial_{-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =\partial_{-1}^{D} f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $f_{-2} \partial_{-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)=\partial_{-1}^{D} f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)$ since $f$ is a chain map．Thus， $\tau_{-1} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A}=-\partial_{-1}^{B \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} \tau_{0}$ ，which it is anti－commutative．
$n \leqslant-1$ ：
In this case the diagram is


Define

$$
\tau_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{n-1}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & f_{-2}\left(\sigma_{-2}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n+1} \\
0 & \cdots &
\end{array}\right]
$$

for $n \leqslant-2$ and choose an element $c \otimes a \in(\Sigma C)_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)_{n-i}$ for $i \geqslant n+1$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right)= & \tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{i}^{\Sigma C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{i} c \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{A}(a)\right) \\
= & f_{i-2}\left(\sigma_{i-2}^{C}\right)^{-1} \partial_{i}^{\Sigma C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i}(a) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{i} f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \\
= & f_{i-2}\left(\sigma_{i-2}^{C}\right)^{-1}\left(-\sigma_{i-2}^{C} \partial_{i-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}\right)(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i}(a) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{i} f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \\
= & -f_{i-2} \partial_{i-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i}(a) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{i} f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \\
= & -\left(f_{i-2} \partial_{i-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i}(a)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(-1)^{i-1} f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{A}(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B}\left(\tau_{n}(c \otimes a)\right)= & \partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B}\left(f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i}(a)\right) \\
= & \partial_{i-1}^{D} f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i}(a) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{i-1} f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c) \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{D}(\Sigma g)_{n-i}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $f_{i-2} \partial_{i-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)=\partial_{i-1}^{D} f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)$ since $f$ is a chain map. Therefore, $\tau_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}=-\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B} \tau_{n}$, which is anti-commutative.

Corollary 4.1.4. Let $C$ and $D$ be complexes. Then there exists a morphism $q$ from $\left(\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)$ to $\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)$.

Proof: Let $f=\mathrm{Id}_{C}$ and $g=\mathrm{Id}_{D}$ in the previous Theorem 4.1.3.

Remark 4.1.5. In Corollary 4.1.4, as far as we know there is no morphism in the opposite direction, from $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)$ to $\left(\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)$. The problem is that there does not necessarily exist a map $C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0} \rightarrow(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0}$.

Theorem 4.1.6. Let $A, B, C$ and $D$ be complexes, and $f: C \rightarrow D$ and $g: A \rightarrow B$ morphisms. Then there exists a morphism $q^{\prime}$ from $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma A\right)$ to $\Sigma\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B\right)$.

Proof: By Lemma 4.1.2 it suffices to define a degree -1 anti-commutative chain map $\tau$ from $C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma A$ to $D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B$, that is, the diagram

is anti-commutative for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We consider four cases: $n=1, n \geqslant 2, n=0$ and $n \leqslant-1$.
$n=1$ :
In this case the diagram is


Define $\tau_{1}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & f_{0} \otimes g_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{A}\right)^{-1}\end{array}\right]$ and $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}$. Choose an element $c \otimes a \epsilon$ $C_{1} \otimes(\Sigma A)_{0}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{1}^{C}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& =f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}\left(\partial_{1}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}(a) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}(0) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now choose an element $c \otimes a \in C_{0} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)_{1}$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(c \otimes \partial_{1}^{\Sigma A}(a)\right) \\
& =\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(c \otimes\left(-\sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}\left(\sigma_{0}^{A}\right)^{-1}\right)(a)\right) \\
& =f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}\left(-\sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}\left(\sigma_{0}^{A}\right)^{-1}\right)(a) \\
& =-f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}\left(\sigma_{0}^{A}\right)^{-1}\right)(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(f_{0}(c) \otimes g_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)\right) \\
& =\partial_{0}^{D} f_{0}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B} g_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c)=\partial_{0}^{D} f_{0}(c)$ since $f$ is a chain map，and
$(\Sigma g)_{0}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}\left(\sigma_{0}^{A}\right)^{-1}\right)(a)=\sigma_{-1}^{B} \partial_{0}^{B} g_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)$ because the following diagram commutes．


Therefore，$f_{0} \partial_{1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}=-\partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B} f_{1}$ ，which is anti－commutative．
$n \geqslant 2$ :
In this case the diagram is


Define

$$
\tau_{n}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & (-1)^{n} f_{n} \otimes g_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A}\right)^{-1} & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
0 & & & (-1)^{0} f_{0} \otimes g_{n-1}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for $n \geqslant 2$ and choose any element $c \otimes a \in C_{n-i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 1$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma A}(c \otimes a)\right)=\tau_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{n-i} c \otimes \partial_{i}^{(\Sigma A)}(a)\right)\right. \\
& =\tau_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{n-i} c \otimes\left(-\sigma_{i-2}^{A} \partial_{i-1}^{A}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}\right)(a)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n-i-1} f_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes g_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)+ \\
& (-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i}(c) \otimes g_{i-2}\left(\sigma_{i-2}^{A}\right)^{-1}\left(-\sigma_{i-2}^{A} \partial_{i-1}^{A}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}\right)(a) \\
& =(-1)^{n-i-1} f_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes g_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)+ \\
& -f_{n-i}(c) \otimes g_{i-2} \partial_{i-1}^{A}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a) \\
& =-\left((-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes g_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)+\right. \\
& \left.f_{n-i}(c) \otimes g_{i-2} \partial_{i-1}^{A}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B}\left(\tau_{n}^{\prime}(c \otimes a)\right)= & \partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B}\left((-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i}(c) \otimes g_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)\right) \\
= & (-1)^{n-i} \partial_{n-i}^{D} f_{n-i}(c) \otimes g_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i}(c) \otimes \partial_{i}^{B}\left(g_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)\right) \\
= & (-1)^{n-i} \partial_{n-i}^{D} f_{n-i}(c) \otimes g_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a) \\
& \quad+f_{n-i}(c) \otimes \partial_{i-1}^{B}\left(g_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $f_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)=\partial_{n-i}^{D} f_{n-i}(c)$ since $f$ is a chain map, and
$g_{i-2} \partial_{i-1}^{A}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)=\partial_{i-1}^{B} g_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{A}\right)^{-1}(a)$ because the following diagram commutes．


Now choose an element $c \otimes a \in C_{n} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)_{0}$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{n}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}(0) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore，$\tau_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}=-\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B} f_{n}$ ，which it is anti－commutative．
$n=0$ ：
In this case the digram is


Define $\tau_{-1}^{\prime}=f_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{\Sigma A}\right)^{-1}$ and choose an element $c \otimes a \in C_{0} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)_{0}$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma A}(a)\right) \\
& =f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{\Sigma A}\right)^{-1} \sigma_{-1}^{\Sigma A} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma A}(a) \\
& =f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{-1} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\partial_{-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B}\left(f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}(a)\right) \\
& =\partial_{-1}^{D} f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}(a)+(-1)^{-1} f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma B}(\Sigma g)_{0}(a) \\
& =\partial_{-1}^{C} \partial_{0}^{D} f_{0}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}(a)+(-1)^{-1} f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma B}(\Sigma g)_{0}(a) \\
& =0 \otimes(\Sigma g)_{0}(a)+(-1)^{-1} f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma B}(\Sigma g)_{0}(a) \\
& =(-1)^{-1} f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma B}(\Sigma g)_{0}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $(\Sigma g)_{-1} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma A}(a)=\partial_{0}^{\Sigma B}(\Sigma g)_{0}(a)$ since $g$ is a chain map．Therefore， $f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma D}=-\partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} f_{0}$ ，which it is anti－commutative．
$n \leqslant-1$ ：
In this case the diagram is
$\oplus_{i=n}^{-1} C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma(\Sigma A))_{n-i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}} \oplus_{i=n-1}^{-1} C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma(\Sigma A))_{n-1-i}$
$\tau_{n}^{\prime} \downarrow$

$$
\oplus_{i=n-1}^{-1} D_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma B)_{n-1-i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}} \quad \oplus_{i=n-2}^{-1} D_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma B)_{n-2-i}
$$

Define

$$
\tau_{n}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
(-1)^{n} f_{n} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{\Sigma D}\right)^{-1} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & & (-1)^{-1} f_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}^{\Sigma D}\right)^{-1} \\
\\
0 & \cdots & & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

for $n \leqslant-2$ and choose any element $c \otimes d \in C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma(\Sigma A))_{n-i}$ for $i \geqslant n+1$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}(c \otimes a)\right)=\tau_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{i}^{C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{i}(c) \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma(\Sigma A)}(a)\right) \\
& =\tau_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{i}^{C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{i}(c) \otimes\left(-\sigma_{n-i-2}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}\right)(a)\right) \\
& =\tau_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{i}^{C}(c) \otimes a-(-1)^{i}(c) \otimes\left(\sigma_{n-i-2}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}\right)(a)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{i-1} f_{i-1} \partial_{i}^{C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a) \\
& -\left((-1)^{i}\right)^{2} f_{i}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-2}\left(\sigma_{n-i-2}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-2}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}\right)(a) \\
& =(-1)^{i-1} f_{i-1} \partial_{i}^{C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a) \\
& -f_{i}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-2} \partial_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a) \\
& =-\left((-1)^{i} f_{i-1} \partial_{i}^{C}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a)\right. \\
& \left.+f_{i}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-2} \partial_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left(\tau_{n}^{\prime}(c \otimes a)\right)= & \partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B}\left((-1)^{i} f_{i}(c) \otimes\left(\sum g\right)_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a)\right) \\
= & (-1)^{i} \partial_{i}^{C} f_{i}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a)+ \\
& (-1)^{i}(-1)^{i} f_{i}(c) \otimes \partial_{n-i-1}^{\sum B}\left(\sum g\right)_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a) \\
= & (-1)^{i} \partial_{i}^{C} f_{i}(c) \otimes(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a)+ \\
& \quad f_{i}(c) \otimes \partial_{n-i-1}^{\sum B}(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $(\Sigma g)_{n-i-2} \partial_{n-i-1}^{\Sigma A}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a)=\partial_{n-i-1}^{\Sigma B}(\Sigma g)_{n-i-1}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A}\right)^{-1}(a)$ since $g$ is a chain map．Therefore，$f_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}=-\partial_{n-1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} B} f_{n}$ ，which is anti－commutative．

Corollary 4．1．7．Let $C$ and $D$ be complexes．Then there exists a morphism $q^{\prime}$ from $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma D\right)$ to $\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D\right)$ ．

Proof：Let $f=\mathrm{Id}_{C}$ and $g=\mathrm{Id}_{D}$ in the previous Proposition 4．1．6．

Remark 4．1．8．In Corollary 4．1．7 as far as we know there is no morphism in the op－ posite direction，from $\Sigma\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)$ to $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma D\right)$ ．The problem is that there does not necessarily exist a map $C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0} \rightarrow C_{0} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0}$ ．

Remark 4．1．9．Also，as far as we know，there is no morphism going from（ $\left.\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D\right)$ to $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma D\right)$ ．The problem is that there does not necessarily exist a map $(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R}$ $D_{0} \rightarrow C_{0} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0}$ ．Similarly，as far as we know，there is no morphism going from $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma D\right)$ to $\left(\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D\right)$ ．The problem is that there does not necessarily exist a $\operatorname{map} C_{0} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0} \rightarrow(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0}$.

Theorem 4．1．10．Let $d \geq 0$ ．If $f: C \rightarrow \sum^{d}(D)$ is a morphism and $A$ is a complex． Then there exists a morphism from $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{d}\left(D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)$ which is commutative when $d$ is even and anti－commutative when $d$ is odd ．

Proof：We want to use Lemma 4．1．2 and Corollary 2．5．3 to define a morphism $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{d}\left(D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)$ such that the following diagram commutes
when $d$ is even and anti－commutes when $d$ is odd for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ．Note that $\left(\Sigma^{d}\left(D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)\right)_{n}=$ $\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\Perp} A\right)_{n-d}$ and we consider three cases：$n \geq d, 0<n<d$ and $n \leq 0$.
$n \geq d:$
Suppose $n>d$ ．In this case the diagram will be

which is equivalent to

$$
\oplus_{i=0}^{n} C_{n-i} \otimes_{R} A_{i} \xrightarrow[\tau_{n}]{\overbrace{i=0}^{n-d} D_{n-d-i}^{C \otimes_{R}^{\aleph} A} \otimes_{R} A_{i} \xrightarrow{(-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{\aleph} A}} \oplus_{i=0}^{n-d-1} \oplus_{n-0}^{n-1} C_{n-1-i-1-i} \otimes_{R} A_{i} A_{i}}
$$

Define

$$
\tau_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
f_{n} \otimes_{R} A_{0} & & & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
& \ddots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& & f_{d} \otimes_{R} A_{n-d} & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Choose $c \otimes a \in C_{n-i} \otimes_{R} A_{i}$ ．Then，when $n-i \geq d$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{n-i} c \otimes \partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =f_{n-i-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes a+(-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i}(c) \otimes \partial_{i}^{A}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right) \tau_{n}(c \otimes a) & =\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)\left(f_{n-i}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& =(-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d-i}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\left(f_{n-i}(c)\right) \otimes a \\
& =(-1)^{d}\left(\partial_{n-d-i}^{D}\left(f_{n-i}(c)\right) \otimes a++(-1)^{n-i} f_{n-i}(c) \otimes \partial_{i}^{A}(a) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $f_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)=\partial_{n-d-i}^{D} f_{n-i}(c)$ since the following diagram commutes．


Therefore，$\tau_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}=(-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A} \tau_{n}$ ．
When $n-i<d$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{n-i} c \otimes \partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right) \tau_{n}(c \otimes a) & =\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)(0) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $f_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)=\partial_{n-d-i}^{D} f_{n-i}(c)$ since the following diagram commutes．


Therefore，$\tau_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}=(-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A} \tau_{n}$ ．
Now suppose $n=d$ ．Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}}\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)_{n-1} \\
\left(D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)_{0} \xrightarrow{(-1)^{d} \partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}}\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\tau_{n-1}} A\right)_{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

which is equivalent to


Where

$$
\tau_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
f_{n} \otimes_{R} A_{0} & & & \\
& 0 & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\tau_{n-1}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
f_{n-1} \otimes_{R} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A} & & & \\
& 0 & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Choose $c \otimes a \in C_{d} \otimes_{R} A_{0}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{d}^{C}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& =f_{d-1}\left(\partial_{d}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right) \tau_{d}(c \otimes a) & =\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{0}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)\left(f_{d}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& =(-1)^{d} \partial_{0}^{D}\left(f_{d}(c)\right) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $f_{d-1} \partial_{d}^{C}(c)=\partial_{0}^{D} f_{d}(c)$ since the following diagram commutes.


Therefore，$\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)=\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right) \tau_{n}$ ．
$0<n<d$ ：
In this case the diagram


Define $\tau_{n}=f_{n-i} \otimes\left(\sigma_{i}^{A}\right)^{-1} \partial_{i}^{A}$ ．Choose $c \otimes a \in C_{n-i} \otimes_{R} A_{i}$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& =f_{n-i-1}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right) \tau_{n}(c \otimes a) & =\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)\left(f_{n-i}(c) \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{d}\left(\partial_{n-d}^{\left(D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)}\left(f_{n-i}(c)\right) \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \circ \partial_{i}^{A}(a) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $f_{n-i-1} \partial_{n-i}^{C}(c)=\partial_{n-d}^{D} f_{n-i}(c)$ since the following diagram commutes


Therefore，$\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)=\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right) \tau_{n}$ ．
$n \leq 0$ ：

Suppose $n=0$ ．
In this case the diagram will be

Define

$$
\tau_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \otimes_{R} \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A} \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and，

$$
\tau_{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{-1} \otimes_{R} A \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Choose $c \otimes a \in C_{0} \otimes_{R} A_{0}$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{\infty} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =\left(f_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right) \tau_{0}(c \otimes a) & =\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)\left(f_{0}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{d}\left(\partial_{i}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\left(f_{0}(c)\right) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right. \\
& =(-1)^{d} \partial_{0}^{D} f_{0}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $f_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c)=\partial_{0}^{D} f_{0}(c)$ since the following diagram commutes


Therefore，$\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)=\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right) \tau_{0}$ ．
Suppose $n<0$ ．In this case the diagram will be


Define

$$
\tau_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
f_{n} \otimes_{R} A_{0} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & f_{-1} \otimes_{R} A_{n+1} & \\
0 & \ldots & & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a)\right) & =\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{i}^{C}(c) \otimes a+(-1)^{|i|} c \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma A}(a)\right) \\
& =f_{n-i}\left(\partial_{i}^{C}(c)\right) \otimes a+(-1)^{i} f_{i}(c) \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right) \tau_{n}(c \otimes a) & =\left((-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}\right)\left(f_{i}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& =\partial_{i}^{D}\left(f_{i}(c)\right) \otimes a+(-1)^{i} f_{i}(c) \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma A}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $f_{n-1} \partial_{i}^{C}(c)=\partial_{i}^{D} f_{i}(c)$ since the following diagram commutes．


Therefore，$\tau_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}=(-1)^{d} \partial_{n-d}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A} \tau_{n}$ ．

Remark 4．1．11．In Theorem 4.1 .10 as far as we know there is no map $\sum^{d}\left(D \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A\right)$.

Remark 4．1．12．In Theorem 4．1．10，as far as we know，if $d<0$ ，then there is no map $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{d}\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A\right)$.

## 4．2 A Quasi－isomorphism

Definition 4．2．1．A chain map $f: C \rightarrow D$ is a quasi－isomorphism if all of its induced maps $H_{n}(f): H_{n}(C) \rightarrow H_{n}(D)$ are isomorphisms．In this case we write $C \simeq D$ ．

Theorem 4．2．2．Let $C$ and $D$ be a complexes of free modules．The map on homol－ ogy induced by $q$ from Corollary 4．1．4 is an isomorphism．In other words，$q$ from Corollary 4．1．4 is a quasiisomorphism．

Proof：Recall that $q=\sigma^{\left(C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D\right)} \tau$ ．Since $\sigma: C \rightarrow \Sigma C$ induces an isomorphism in homology for any complex $C$ ，it suffices to show that the degree -1 chain map $\tau: \Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D \rightarrow C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D$ induces an isomorphism in homology．We consider five cases：
$n=0, n=1, n \geqslant 2, n=-1$ ，and $n \leqslant-2$ ．It suffices to show that $H_{n}(\tau)$ is one－to－one and onto for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ．
$n=0:$
In this case the diagram is


We first show that $H_{0}(\tau)$ is one－to－one．Assume $a \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ such that $\tau_{0}(a) \epsilon$ $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ．Choose $z \in C_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0}$ such that $\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(z)=\tau_{0}(a)$ ．
Then $\tau_{1}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)=z$ ．Consider $\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)+a$ ．

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0}\left(\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)+a\right) & =\left(\tau_{0} \partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)+\tau_{0}(a) \\
& =-\left(\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} \tau_{1}\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)+\tau_{0}(a) \\
& =-\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(z)+\tau_{0}(a) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore，
$\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)+a \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ ．Since

$$
(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{1} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{1}^{D}}(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0} \xrightarrow{\tau_{0}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0}
$$

is exact，we have $\left.\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right) \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)\right)+a \in \operatorname{Im}\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{1}^{D}\right)$ ．
Write $\left.\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)\right)+a=\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{1}^{D}\right)(b)$ for some $b \in(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{1}$ ．

However，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(-z), b\right)\right) & =-\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)\right)+\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}((0, b)) \\
& =-\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(z), 0\right)\right)+a \\
& =a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $a$ is a boundary，therefore $H_{0}(\tau)$ is one－to－one．
Now we want want to show $H_{0}(\tau)$ is onto．Consider $a \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ．Write $\partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(a)=(b, z)$ where $b \in C_{-2} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0}$ and $z \in C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{-1}$ ．Therefore，$\partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(a)=$ $(b, z)=0$ ，which implies $z=0$ ．Then，$z=\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}\right)(a)=0$ ．Since

$$
C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{1} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{1}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{-1}
$$

is exact，we have $a \in \operatorname{ker}\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{1}^{\Sigma D}\right)$ ．Therefore there exists $k \in C_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma D)_{1}$ such that $\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{1}^{\Sigma D}\right)(k)=a$ ．Then $\tau_{0}\left(\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \otimes\left(\sigma_{0}^{D}\right)^{-1}\right)(k)\right)=a$ ． Finally we note that $\tau_{-1} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \otimes\left(\sigma_{0}^{D}\right)^{-1}\right)(k)\right)=-\partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} \tau_{0}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \otimes\left(\sigma_{0}^{D}\right)^{-1}(k)\right)=-\partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(a)=0$ ．Since $\tau_{-1}$ is one－to－one we have $\partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C} \otimes\left(\sigma_{0}^{D}\right)^{-1}\right)(k)\right)=0$ ，therefore $\left(\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right) \otimes\left(\sigma_{0}^{D}\right)^{-1}\right)(k)$ is a cycle and therefore $H_{0}(\tau)$ is onto．
$n=1$ ：
In this case the diagram is

which is anti－commutative by Theorem 4．1．3．We first want to show $H_{1}(\tau)$ is one－to－one．Assume $(a, b) \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ such that $\tau_{1}((a, b)) \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_{1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ．Choose $(c, d) \in C_{1} \otimes_{R} D_{0} \oplus C_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{1}$ such that $\partial_{1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}((c, d))=\tau_{1}((a, b))$.
Then $\tau_{2}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)\right)=(c, d)$ ．
Consider $\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)\right)+(a, b)$ ．Then，
$\tau_{1}\left(\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)\right)+(a, b)\right)$
$=\left(\tau_{1} \partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\right)\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)\right)+\tau_{1}((a, b))$
$=-\left(\partial_{1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} \tau_{2}\right)\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)\right)+\tau_{1}((a, b))$
$=-\partial_{1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}((c, d))+\tau_{1}((a, b))$
$=0$ ．
Therefore $\left(\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)\right)+(a, b)\right) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{1}$ ． Then we have
$\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)\right)+(a, b) \in \operatorname{ker}\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{1} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{1}^{D}}\right.$ $\left.(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0}\right)$
Thus gives，
$\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)+(a, b) \in \operatorname{Im}\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{2} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} \partial_{2}^{D}}(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes\right.$
$D_{1}$ ）．
Since

$$
(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{2} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{2}^{D}}(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{1} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{1}^{D}}(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0}
$$

is exact．Write
$\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)\right)+(a, b)=\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{2}^{D}\right)(k)$ for some $k \epsilon$ $(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{2}$ ．However，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(-c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(-d), k\right)\right) \\
& \left.=\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(-c),(-d), 0\right)\right)+\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}((0,0, k)) \\
& \left.\left.=-\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),(d), 0\right)\right)+\left(\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), 0\right)\right)+(a, b)\right) \\
& =(a, b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left.\partial_{2}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{1}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(c),\left(\sigma_{2}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)(d), k\right)\right)=(a, b)$ ．Therefore，$(a, b)$ is a bound－ ary．Thus $H_{1}(\tau)$ is one－to－one．

Now we want want to show $H_{1}(\tau)$ is onto．Consider $a \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ．Then， $\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(a)=0$ and $\tau_{1}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(a), 0\right)=a$ ．Finally note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0} \partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(a), 0\right) & =\tau_{0}\left(\left(\partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C} \otimes D_{0}\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(a)\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{D}\right)\left(\partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C} \otimes D_{0}\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(a)\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{1} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{1}^{D}}(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0} \xrightarrow{\tau_{0}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0}
$$

is exact．There exists $k \in(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{1}$ such that $\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{1}^{D}\right)(k)=\left(\partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C} \otimes\right.$ $\left.D_{0}\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{\Sigma C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(a)\right)$ ．Consider now $\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{\Sigma C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(a), k\right) \in \oplus_{i=0}^{1}(\Sigma C)_{1-i} \otimes_{R} D_{i}$ ．We have $\tau_{1}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{\Sigma C} \otimes D_{0}\right)(a), k\right)=a$ ．Finally we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0} \partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes\left(\sigma_{1}^{D}\right)^{-1}\right)(a), k\right) & =-\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} \tau_{1}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes\left(\sigma_{1}^{D}\right)^{-1}\right)(a), k\right) \\
& =-\partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(a) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tau_{0}$ is one－to－one we have $\partial_{1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes\left(\sigma_{1}^{D}\right)^{-1}\right)(a), k\right)=0$ ，so $\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes\left(\sigma_{1}^{D}\right)^{-1}\right)(a), k\right)$ is a cycle．Therefore $H_{1}(\tau)$ is onto．
$n \geqslant 2$ ：
We have the diagram，which is anti commutative by Theorem 4．1．3：


First we want to show $H_{n}(\tau)$ is one－to－one．Assume $K=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n+1}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ such that $\tau_{n}(K) \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ．Choose $Z=\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \oplus_{i=0}^{n} C_{n-i} \otimes_{R} D_{i}$ such that $\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Z)=\tau_{n}(K)$ ．Let $Z^{\prime}=\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{n}^{C}\right) \otimes D_{0}\right)\left(z_{0}\right),\left(\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{C}\right) \otimes D_{1}\right)\left(z_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right) \otimes\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.D_{n}\right)\left(z_{n}\right), 0\right)$ ．Then $\tau_{n+1}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=Z$ ．Consider $\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+K \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n}(\Sigma C)_{n-i} \otimes_{R} D_{i}$ Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n}\left(\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+K\right) & =\left(\tau_{n} \partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\right)\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{n}(K) \\
& =-\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} \tau_{n+1}\right)\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{n}(K) \\
& =-\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Z)+\tau_{n}(K) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+K \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\tau_{n}\right)=(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n}$ ．Since

$$
(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n+1} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{n+1}^{D}}(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{n}^{D}}(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n-1}
$$

is exact，we have $\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+K \in \operatorname{Im}\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{n+1}^{D}\right)$ ．Write $\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+K=\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{n+1}^{D}\right)(b)$ for some $b \in(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n+1}$ and write $Z^{\prime \prime}=\left(-\left(\sigma_{n}^{C} \otimes D_{0}\right)\left(z_{0}\right),-\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{C} \otimes D_{1}\right)\left(z_{1}\right), \ldots,-\left(\sigma_{0}^{C} \otimes D_{n}\right)\left(z_{n}\right), b\right)=\left(-z_{0}^{\prime},-z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots,-z_{n}^{\prime}, b\right)$ where $z_{i}^{\prime}=\sigma_{n-i}^{C} \otimes D_{i-1}$ ．However，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(-z_{0}^{\prime},-z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots,-z_{n}^{\prime}, 0\right)\right)+\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}((0, \cdots, b)) \\
& =-\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(z_{0}^{\prime}, z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{n}^{\prime}, 0\right)\right)+\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}((0, \cdots, b)) \\
& \left.=-\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\left(z_{0}^{\prime}, z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{n}^{\prime}, 0\right)\right)+\left(\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+K\right)\right) \\
& =K .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)=K$ ．Thus $K$ is a boundary．Therefore，$H_{n}(\tau)$ is one－to－one． Now we want want to show $H_{n}(\tau)$ is onto．Let $Z=\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$. Consider $Y=\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}, 0\right) \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n+1}(\Sigma C)_{n-i} \otimes_{R} D_{i}$ ．we have $\tau_{n}(Y)=Z$ ．Therefore $\tau_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Y)=0$ ．Since ker $\tau_{n-1}=(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n-1}$ ，we have $\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D}(Y) \in(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R}$ $D_{n-1}$ ．Now $0=\partial_{n-1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Y)=\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{n-1}^{D}\right)\left(\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Y)\right)$ ．Since

$$
(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \partial_{n}^{D}}(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n-1} \xrightarrow{(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{n-1}^{D}}(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n-2}
$$

is exact and $\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Y) \in \operatorname{ker}\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{n-1}^{D}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{n}^{D}\right)$ ．Write $\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Y)=$ $\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes \partial_{n}^{D}\right)(k)$ for some $\mathrm{k} \in(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{n}$ ．Then $Y-(0,0, \ldots, k)=\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1},-k\right)$ maps to 0 under $\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ，and therefore is a cycle，and $\tau_{n}\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots,-k\right)\right)=Z$ ．Thus $H_{n}(\tau)$ is onto．
$n=-1$ ：
We have the diagram，

which is anti－commutative by Theorem 4．1．3．We first want to show that $H_{-1}(\tau)$ is one－to－one．Assume $a \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ such that $\tau_{-1}(a) \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ．Choose $c \in$ $C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0}$ such that $\partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(c)=\tau_{-1}(a)$ ．It follows that $\left(C_{-1} \otimes_{R} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}\right)(c)=0$ since

$$
C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{1} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes_{R} \partial_{1}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma D)_{-1}
$$

is exact．Then there exists $c \in \operatorname{ker} C_{-1} \otimes_{R} \partial_{1}^{\Sigma D}=\operatorname{Im}\left(C_{-1} \otimes_{R} \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ ． Therefore there exists $c^{\prime} \in(\Sigma C)_{0} \otimes_{R} D_{0}$ such that $\tau_{0}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=c$ ．Consider $\partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(c^{\prime}\right)+a$ ， then we have，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{-1}\left(\partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(c^{\prime}\right)+a\right) & =\left(\tau_{-1} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\right)\left(c^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{-1}(a) \\
& =-\partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(\tau_{0}\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)+\tau_{-1}(a) \\
& =-\partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(c)+\tau_{-1}(a) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus，$\partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(c^{\prime}\right)+a \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\tau_{-1}\right)=0$ ．
Therefore，$a=\partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(-c^{\prime}\right)$ ．Thus $a \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ．Thus $D$ is a boundary，and therefore $H_{-1}(\tau)$ is one－to－one．

Now we want to show that $H_{-1}(\tau)$ is onto．let $(a, b) \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{-2}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ．Then， $\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{-1}^{\Sigma D}\right)(b)=0$. Since

$$
C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{0} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes_{R} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{-1} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{-1}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma D)_{-2}
$$

is exact，we have $\operatorname{ker}\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{-1}^{\Sigma D}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}\right)$ ．Thus there exists $c \in C_{-1} \otimes_{R}$ $(\Sigma D)_{0}$ such that $\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}\right)(c)=b$ ．However，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(c) & =\left(\left(\partial_{-1}^{C} \otimes(\Sigma D)_{0}\right)(c),\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}\right)(c)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\partial_{-1}^{C} \otimes(\Sigma D)_{0}\right)(c), b\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore，
$\left.[(a, b)]=\left[(a, b)-\left(\partial_{-1}^{C} \otimes \Sigma D\right)(c), b\right)\right]=\left[\left(a-\left(\partial_{-1}^{C} \otimes \Sigma D\right)(c), 0\right]\right.$ as cosets modulo the $\operatorname{Im}\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{0}^{\Sigma D}\right)$ ．Consider $Z=\left(\left(\sigma_{-2}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)\right)\left(a-\left(\partial_{-1}^{C} \otimes_{R} \Sigma D\right)(c) \in(\Sigma C)_{-1} \otimes_{R}\right.$ $(\Sigma D)_{0}$ ．We have $\left[\tau_{-1}(z)\right]=[(a, b)]$ ，and $\partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(z)=0$ since $\tau_{-2} \partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(z)=0$ and $\tau_{-2}$ is one－to－one．Thus $z$ is a cycle and so $H_{-1}(\tau)$ is onto．
$n \leqslant-2$ ：
We have the diagram，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \oplus_{i=n+1}^{-1}(\Sigma C)_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n+1-i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}} \oplus_{i=n}^{-1}(\Sigma C)_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}} \oplus_{i=n-1}^{-1}(\Sigma C)_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-1-i} \\
& \oplus_{i=n}^{-1} C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-i} \xrightarrow{\tau_{n+1}} \stackrel{\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}}{ } \oplus_{i=n-1}^{-1} C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-1-i} \xrightarrow{\tau_{n}}{ }^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} \oplus_{i=n-2}^{-1} C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-2-i}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is anticommutative by Theorem 4．1．3．First we want to show $H_{n}(\tau)$ is one－ to－one．Assume $K=\left(k_{n}, k_{n+1}, \ldots, k_{-1}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ such that $\tau_{n}(K) \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ． Choose $Z=\left(c_{n}, c_{n+1}, \ldots, c_{-1}\right) \in \oplus_{i=n}^{-1} C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-i}$ such that $\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Z)=\tau_{n}(K)$ ． Then，$\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n-1}^{\Sigma D}\right)\left(c_{-1}\right)=0$ ．Therefore，$c_{-1} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n-1}^{\Sigma D}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n}^{\Sigma D}\right)$ since

$$
C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-1} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n-1}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-2}
$$

is exact．Choose $c^{\prime}$ such that $\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n}^{\Sigma D}\right)\left(c^{\prime}\right)=c_{-1}$ ．Then $\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z-\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ $\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Z)=\tau_{n}(K)$ ．Therefore we can assume $Z=\left(c_{n}, c_{n+1}, \ldots, c_{-2}, 0\right)$ and choose $Z^{\prime}=$ $\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{n}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes D_{0}\right)\left(c_{0}\right),\left(\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes D_{1}\right)\left(c_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\left(\sigma_{0}^{C}\right)^{-1} \otimes D_{n-1}\right)\left(c_{n-1}\right)\right) \in \oplus_{i=n+1}^{-1}(\Sigma C)_{i} \otimes_{R}$ $(\Sigma D)_{n+1-i}$ ．Then $\tau_{n+1}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=Z$ ．Now consider $\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(C^{\prime}\right)+K \in \oplus_{i=n}^{-1}(\Sigma C)_{i} \otimes_{R}$ $(\Sigma D)_{n-i}$ ．Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n}\left(\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+K\right) & =\left(\tau_{n} \partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\right)\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{n}(K) \\
& =-\left(\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D} \tau_{n+1}\right)\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{n}(K) \\
& =-\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(C)+\tau_{n}(K) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore，$\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+K \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\tau_{n}\right)=0$ ，and so $K=\partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} D}\left(-Z^{\prime}\right)$ ，which implies that $K \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_{n+1}^{\left(\sum C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D\right)}$ ．Thus $K$ is a boundary，and so $H_{n}(\tau)$ is one－to－one．

Now want to show $H_{n}(\tau)$ is onto．Let $K=\left(k_{n-1}, k_{n}, \ldots, k_{-1}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \partial_{n-1}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}$ ．Since

$$
C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-1} \xrightarrow{C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n-1}^{\Sigma D}} C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-2}
$$

is exact, we have $\operatorname{ker}\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n-1}^{\sum D}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n}^{\Sigma D}\right)$. Thus there exists $c \in C_{-1} \otimes_{R}$ $(\Sigma D)_{n}$ such that $\left(C_{-1} \otimes \partial_{n}^{\Sigma D}\right)(c)=k_{-1}$. Define $Z=(0, \ldots, 0, c) \in \oplus_{i=n}^{-1} C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma D)_{n-i}$. Then, $K-\partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}(Z)=\left(k_{n-1}, \ldots, k_{-2}-\left(\partial_{-1}^{C} \otimes(\Sigma D)_{n+1}\right)(c), 0\right)$. Therefore, $[K]=$ $\left[\left(k_{n-1}, \ldots, k_{-2}-\left(\partial_{-1}^{C} \otimes(\Sigma D)_{-1}\right)(c), 0\right)\right]$. Therefore, $[K]=\left[\tau_{n}\left(k_{n-1}, \ldots, k_{-2}-\left(\partial_{n+1}^{C} \otimes\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.(\Sigma D)_{-1}\right)(c)\right)\right]$ and $\left(k_{n-1}, \ldots, k_{-2}-\left(\partial_{n+1}^{C} \otimes(\Sigma D)_{-1}\right)(c)\right) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{n}^{\Sigma C \otimes_{R}^{凶} D}\right)$. Therefore, $H_{n}(\tau)$ is onto.

Theorem 4.2.3. Let $C$ and $D$ be a complexes of free modules. The map on homology induced by $q^{\prime}$ from Corollary 4.1 .7 is an isomorphism. In other words, $q^{\prime}$ from Corollary 4.1.7 is a quasiisomorphism.

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.2.2.

## Chapter 5

## Pinched Homotopy and Cones

In this chapter we examine two other properties: the pinched homotopy and the pinched mapping cone. We see that the pinched tensor product is a functor from the category of complexes, but we cannot extend to it a functor on the homotopy categories. Also, we give some counterexamples for the relevant properties that no longer hold for the pinched tensor product. In addition we show that the isomorphism that implies the mapping cone commutes with tensor product for the ordinary tensor product no longer holds for the pinched tensor product. However, we show there is a morphism.

### 5.1 Homotopy

Remark 5.1.1. In Theorem 2.6.2 if we replace the ordinary tensor product with the pinched tensor product, then there is no map going from $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\Perp} A\right)_{-1}=C_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)_{0} \rightarrow$ $\left(D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} B\right)_{0}=D_{0} \otimes_{R} B_{0}$. That means the pinched tensor product is a functor from the category of complexes to complexes, but we cannot extend to it a functor on the homotopy categories, as we can see in the next example.

Example 5.1.2. Let $C$ and $D$ be complexes and $f: C \rightarrow D$ a morphisim such that $f \nsim 0$. Let $A=0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$ where the degrees of the $R^{\prime} s$ are 0 and -1 , respectively and $g: A \rightarrow A$ the identity map. Then clearly we see that $g \sim 0$ from the diagram


Also, by Proposition 3.2.9 we have $C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A \cong C$ and $D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A \cong D$. Then $f \otimes^{\star} g=$ $f \otimes^{\bowtie} \operatorname{Id}_{A}: C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A \rightarrow D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A$. However, we know

is commutative. Therefore $f \otimes^{\star} g \nsim 0$.

However, we do have a positive result involving homotopy.
Theorem 5.1.3. Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ with $f \sim 0$ and $\sigma \partial^{A}: A_{n} \rightarrow(\Sigma A)_{n}$ be morphisms of complexes of $R$-modules. Then $f \otimes^{\star} \sigma \partial^{A}: C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} A \rightarrow D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma A$ satisfies $f \otimes \sigma \partial_{n}^{A} \sim 0$.

Proof: We consider three cases: $n=0, n \geqslant 1$ and $n \leqslant-1$.
$n=0$ :
In this case the diagram is


Define $h_{0}^{\prime}=h_{0} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}$ and $h_{-1}^{\prime}=h_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma A)_{0}$. Choose an element $c \otimes a \in C_{0} \otimes_{R} A_{0}$.
Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A} h_{0}^{\prime}(c \otimes a)+h_{-1}^{\prime} \partial_{0}^{C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie A}}(c \otimes a) \\
& =\partial_{1}^{D \otimes \bowtie \Sigma A}\left(h_{0} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}\right)(c \otimes a)+h_{-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{0}^{C} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}\right)(c \otimes a) \\
& =\partial_{1}^{D \otimes \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}\left(h_{0}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right)+h_{-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{1}^{D} \otimes(\Sigma A)_{0}\right)\left(h_{0}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right)+\left(h_{-1} \otimes(\Sigma A)_{0}\right)\left(\partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)\right) \\
& =\partial_{1}^{D} h_{0}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a)+h_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \\
& =\left[\partial_{1}^{D} h_{0}(c) \otimes+h_{-1} \partial_{0}^{C}(c)\right] \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \\
& =f_{0}(c) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$n \geqslant 1$ :
In this case the diagram is


Define

$$
h_{n}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & & \cdots & 0 \\
& & h_{n} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A} & \\
\\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & h_{0} \otimes \sigma_{n-1}^{A} \partial_{n}^{A}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
f_{n} \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{n} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & f_{0} \otimes \sigma_{n-1}^{A} \partial_{n}^{A}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Choose an element $c \otimes a \in C_{n-i} \otimes_{R} A_{i}$ ，Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{n+1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A} h_{n}^{\prime}(c \otimes a)+h_{n-1}^{\prime} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{凶} A}(c \otimes a) \\
& \quad=\partial_{n+1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}\left(h_{n} \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}\right)(c \otimes a)+h_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{n}^{C} \otimes A_{i}\right)(c \otimes a) \\
& \quad=\partial_{n+1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma A}\left(h_{n}(c) \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right)+h_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{n}^{C}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\partial_{n+1}^{D} \otimes(\Sigma A)_{i}\right)\left(h_{n}(c) \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right)+\left(h_{n-1} \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}\right)\left(\partial_{n}^{C}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
& \quad=\partial_{n+1}^{D} h_{n}(c) \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}(a)+h_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) \\
& \quad=\left[\partial_{n+1}^{D} h_{n}(c) \otimes+h_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}(c)\right] \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) \\
& \quad=f_{n}(c) \otimes \sigma_{i-1}^{A} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$n \leqslant-1$ ：
In this case the diagram is


Define $h_{n}^{\prime}=h_{n} \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i}^{\sum A}$

$$
h_{n}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & & & \\
& h_{n} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma A} & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
0 & & & h_{0} \otimes \sigma_{n-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{n}^{\Sigma A}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
f_{n} \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{A} \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
f_{n} \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{\Sigma A} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & f_{-1} \otimes \sigma_{n}^{A} \partial_{n+1}^{\Sigma A}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Choose an element $c \otimes a \in C_{i} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma A)_{n-i}$, Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{n+1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma \Sigma A} h_{n}^{\prime}(c \otimes a)+h_{n-1}^{\prime} \partial_{n}^{C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \Sigma A}(c \otimes a) \\
&=\partial_{n+1}^{D \otimes_{R}^{凶} \Sigma \Sigma A}\left(h_{n} \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma A}\right)(c \otimes a)+h_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{n}^{C} \otimes \Sigma A_{i}\right)(c \otimes a) \\
&=\partial_{n+1}^{D \otimes \otimes_{R}^{凶 \Sigma A}}\left(h_{n}(c) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma A}(a)\right)+h_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{n}^{C}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
&=\left(\partial_{n+1}^{D} \otimes(\Sigma \Sigma A)_{i}\right)\left(h_{n}(c) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma A}(a)\right)+\left(h_{n-1} \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\Sigma A} \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma A}(a)\right)\left(\partial_{n}^{C}(c) \otimes a\right) \\
&=\partial_{n+1}^{D} h_{n}(c) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i}^{\sum A}(a)+h_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}(c) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i}^{\sum A}(a) \\
&=\left[\partial_{n+1}^{D} h_{n}(c) \otimes+h_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{C}(c)\right] \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\sum A} \partial_{n-i}^{\sum A}(a) \\
&=f_{n}(c) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\Sigma A} \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma A}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.2 Mapping Cones

Theorem 5.2.1. Let $A$ be an $R$-complex and $f: C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism of complexes of $R$-modules . Then there exists a morphism from $A \otimes_{R}^{\infty} \operatorname{cone}(f)$ to $\operatorname{cone}\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\infty} g\right)$, where

$$
g_{n}= \begin{cases}f_{n} & \text { for } n \geqslant-1 \\ -f_{n} & \text { for } n<-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof: We consider three cases: $n=0, n \geqslant 1$ and $n \leqslant-1$.
$n=0$ :
In this case the diagram is


Define $\mu_{0}(a \otimes(c, d))=\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes c\right), a \otimes d\right)$ and $\mu_{-1}\left(a \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{\text {cone }(f)}(c, d)\right)=\left(\sigma_{-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}(a \otimes\right.$ $\left.b), a \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D}(d)\right)$ ．Choose an element $(a \otimes(c, d)) \in A_{0} \otimes_{R}\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \oplus D_{0}\right)$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{0}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)} \mu_{0}(a \otimes(c, d)) \\
& =\partial_{0}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)}\left(\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes c\right), a \otimes d\right)\right) \\
& =\left[\partial_{0}^{\Sigma\left(A \otimes^{\aleph} C\right)}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes c\right)\right),\left(A_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma f)_{0}\right)\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\right)^{-1} \sigma_{-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes(c)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{0}^{A \otimes^{\circledR} D}(a \otimes d)\right] \\
& =\left[-\sigma_{-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C} \partial_{-1}^{A \otimes^{\aleph} C}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\right)^{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes c\right)\right),\left(A_{-1} \otimes_{R}(\Sigma f)_{0}\right)\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes(c)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{D}(d)\right] \\
& =\left[-\sigma_{-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C} \partial_{-1}^{A \otimes^{\aleph} C}\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes c\right),\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes_{R}(\Sigma f)_{0}(c)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{D}(d)\right] \\
& =\left[-\sigma_{-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{-1}^{A} \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes c+(-1) \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes \partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C}(c)\right),\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes_{R}(\Sigma f)_{0}(c)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{D}(d)\right] \\
& =\left[\sigma_{-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes \partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C}(c)\right), \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes_{R}(\Sigma f)_{0}(c)+\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{-1}^{D} \partial_{0}^{D}(d)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

However，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{-1} \partial_{0}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} \text { cone }(f)}(a \otimes(c, d)) \\
= & \mu_{-1}\left[\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes \partial_{0}^{\text {Cone }(f)}(c, d)\right] \\
= & \mu_{-1}\left[\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes\left(\partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C}(c), f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)+\partial_{0}^{D}(d)\right)\right] \\
= & {\left[\sigma_{-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes \partial_{-1}^{\Sigma C}(c)\right), \partial_{0}^{A}(a) \otimes_{R} \sigma_{-1}^{D}\left(f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)+\partial_{0}^{D}(d)\right)\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $(\Sigma f)_{0}(c)=\sigma_{-1}^{D} f_{-1}\left(\sigma_{-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)$ ，since the following diagram commutes


Therefore，$\partial_{0}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)} \mu_{0}=\mu_{-1} \partial_{0}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} \text { cone }(f)}$ ，which is what we wanted to show．
$n \geqslant 1$ ：
In this case the diagram is


Define

$$
\mu_{n}(a \otimes(c, d))= \begin{cases}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(a \otimes \sigma_{i}^{-1}(c)\right), a \otimes d\right) & \text { for } i \geqslant 0 \\ (0, a \otimes d) & \text { for } i=0\end{cases}
$$

$\mu_{n}(a \otimes(c, d))=\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(a \otimes \sigma_{i}^{-1}(c)\right), a \otimes d\right)$ ．We have two subcases $i=0$ and $i \geqslant 1$ ．
$i=0$ ：
Choose an element $(a \otimes(c, d)) \in A_{n} \otimes_{R}\left((\Sigma C)_{0} \oplus D_{0}\right)$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{n}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)} \mu_{n}(a \otimes(c, d)) \\
& \quad=\partial_{n}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)}((a \otimes d)) \\
& \quad=\left[\partial_{n}^{A \otimes^{\aleph} D}(a \otimes d)\right] \\
& \quad=\left[\partial_{n}^{A}(a) \otimes d\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

However，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{n-1} & \partial_{n}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} \operatorname{cone}(f)}(a \otimes(c, d)) \\
\quad= & \mu_{n-1}\left[\partial_{n}^{A}(a) \otimes(c, d)+(-1)^{n} a \otimes \partial_{0}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}(c, d)\right] \\
\quad= & \mu_{n-1}\left[\partial_{n}^{A}(a) \otimes(c, d)+(-1)^{n} a \otimes 0\right] \\
\quad= & {\left[\partial_{n}^{A}(a) \otimes d\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore，$\partial_{n}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)} \mu_{n}=\mu_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{A \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \operatorname{cone}(f)}$ ，which is what we wanted to show．
$i \geqslant 1$ ：
Choose an element $(a \otimes(c, d)) \in A_{n-i} \otimes_{R}\left((\Sigma C)_{i} \oplus D_{i}\right)$ ．Then，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{n}^{\operatorname{cone}\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)} \mu_{n}(a \otimes(c, d)) \\
& =\partial_{n}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(a \otimes\left(\sigma_{i}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c), a \otimes d\right)\right. \\
& =\left[\partial_{n}^{\Sigma\left(A \otimes^{\star} C\right)}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(a \otimes\left(\sigma_{i}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right)\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(A_{n-i} \otimes_{R} f_{i-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\right)^{-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(a \otimes\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right)+\partial_{n}^{A \otimes^{\aleph} D}(a \otimes d)\right] \\
& =\left[-\sigma_{n-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C} \partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes^{\aleph} C}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\right)^{-1}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(a \otimes\left(\sigma_{i}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.,\left(A_{n-i} \otimes_{R} f_{i-1}\right)\left(a \otimes\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right)+\partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \otimes d+(-1)^{n-i} a \otimes \partial_{i}^{D}(d)\right] \\
& =\left[-\sigma_{n-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C} \partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes^{\aleph} C}\left(a \otimes\left(\sigma_{i}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right),\left(a \otimes_{R} f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \otimes d+(-1)^{n-i} a \otimes \partial_{i}^{D}(d)\right] \\
& =\left[-\sigma_{n-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{\Perp} C}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \otimes\left(\sigma_{i}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)+(-1)^{n-i} a \otimes \partial_{i-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(a \otimes_{R} f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right)+\partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \otimes d+(-1)^{n-i} a \otimes \partial_{i}^{D}(d)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

However，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{A \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \operatorname{cone}(f)}(a \otimes(c, d)) \\
& =\mu_{n-1}\left[\partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \otimes(c, d)+(-1)^{n-i} a \otimes \partial_{i}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}(c, d)\right] \\
& =\mu_{n-1}\left[\partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \otimes(c, d)+(-1)^{n-i} a \otimes\left(\partial_{i}^{\Sigma C}(c), f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)+\partial_{i}^{D}(d)\right)\right] \\
& =\left[-\sigma_{n-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \otimes\left(\sigma_{i}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)+(-1)^{n-i} a \otimes\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1} \partial_{i}^{\Sigma C}(c)\right), a \otimes f_{i-1}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{n-i}^{A}(a) \otimes d+(-1)^{n-i} a \otimes \partial_{i}^{D}(d)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\partial_{i-1}^{C}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{C}\right)^{-1}(c)=\left(\sigma_{i-2}^{C}\right)^{-1} \partial_{i}^{\Sigma C}(c)$ ，since the following diagram commutes


Therefore，$\partial_{n}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)} \mu_{n}=\mu_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} \text { cone }(f)}$ ，which is what we wanted to show．
$n \leqslant-1$ ：
In this case the diagram is


Define
$\mu_{n}\left(a \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}(c, d)\right)=\left((-1)^{|a|} \sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{\Perp} C}(a \otimes c), a \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)\right)$ where $a \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\text {cone }(f)}(c, d) \in$ $A_{i} \otimes_{R}\left(\sum \text { cone }(f)\right)_{n-i}$ ．with $a \in A_{i},(c, d) \in(\Sigma C)_{n-i-1} \otimes_{R} D_{n-i-1}$ ．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{n}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)} \mu_{n}\left(a \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}(c, d)\right) \\
&= \partial_{n}^{\operatorname{cone}\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)}\left((-1)^{|a|} \sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}(a \otimes c), a \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)\right) \\
&= {\left[\partial_{n}^{\Sigma\left(A \otimes^{\aleph} C\right)}\left((-1)^{|a|} \sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}(a \otimes c)\right),\right.} \\
&\left.\left(A_{i} \otimes_{R}-(\Sigma f)_{n-i-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\right)^{-1}(-1)^{|a|} \sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}(a \otimes c)+\partial_{n}^{A \otimes^{\aleph} D}\left(a \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)\right)\right] \\
&= {\left[-\sigma_{n-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C} \partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes^{\aleph} C}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\right)^{-1}\left((-1)^{|a|} \sigma_{n-1}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}(a \otimes c)\right),\right.} \\
&\left(\begin{array}{rl}
\left.\left.A_{i} \otimes_{R}-(\Sigma f)_{n-i-1}\right)(-1)^{|a|}(a \otimes c)+\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)+(-1)^{i} a \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{\Sigma D} \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)\right] \\
= & {\left[-\sigma_{n-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C} \partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes^{\aleph} C}(-1)^{|a|}(a \otimes c),(-1)^{|a|} a \otimes_{R}-(\Sigma f)_{n-i-1}(c)\right.} \\
& \left.\quad+\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)+(-1)^{i} a \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{\sum D} \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)\right] \\
= & {\left[(-1)^{|a|+1} \sigma_{n-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes c+(-1)^{i} a \otimes \partial_{n-i-1}^{\Sigma C}(c)\right),(-1)^{|a|} a \otimes_{R}-(\Sigma f)_{n-i-1}(c)\right.} \\
& \left.+\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)+(-1)^{i} a \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{\sum D} \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)\right] .
\end{array}\right. \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

However，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} \mathrm{cone}(f)}\left(a \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}(c, d)\right) \\
= & \mu_{n-1}\left[\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}(c, d)+(-1)^{i} a \otimes \partial_{n-i}^{\sum \operatorname{cone}(f)} \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\text {cone }(f)}(c, d)\right] \\
= & \mu_{n-1}\left[\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}(c, d)+(-1)^{i} a \otimes-\sigma_{n-i-2}^{\text {cone }(f)} \partial_{n-i-1}^{\text {cone }(f)}\left(\sigma_{n-i-1}^{\text {cone }(f)}\right)^{-1} \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\text {cone }(f)}(c, d)\right] \\
= & \mu_{n-1}\left[\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}(c, d)+(-1)^{i} a \otimes-\sigma_{n-i-2}^{\text {cone }(f)} \partial_{n-i-1}^{\text {cone }(f)}(c, d)\right] \\
= & \mu_{n-1}\left[\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{\operatorname{cone}(f)}(c, d)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(-1)^{i} a \otimes-\sigma_{n-i-2}^{\text {cone }(f)}\left(\partial_{n-i-1}^{\Sigma C}(c), f_{n-i-2} \sigma_{n-i-2}^{-1}(c)+\partial_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)\right)\right] \\
= & \left((-1)^{|a|-1} \sigma_{n-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes c\right), \partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \sigma_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)\right)+ \\
& \left((-1)^{|a|} \sigma_{n-2}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} C}\left((-1)^{i+1} a \otimes \partial_{n-i-1}^{\Sigma C}(c)\right),(-1)^{i} a \otimes-\sigma_{n-i-2}^{D}\left(f_{n-i-2} \sigma_{n-i-2}^{-1}(c)+\partial_{n-i-1}^{D}(d)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\partial_{n}^{\text {cone }\left(A \otimes_{R}^{凶} f\right)} \mu_{n}=\mu_{n-1} \partial_{n}^{A \otimes_{R}^{凶} \operatorname{cone}(f)}$, which is what we wanted to show.

Remark 5.2.2. In Theorem 5.2.1 we note that we will not have an isomorphism between $A \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \operatorname{cone}(f)$ and $\operatorname{cone}\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\aleph} f\right)$ because $\left(C \otimes_{R}^{\bowtie} \operatorname{cone}(f)\right)_{n}$ has one more term than $\left(\operatorname{cone}\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\propto} f\right)\right)_{n}$ for $n>0$ and vice versa for $n<0$.

Theorem 5.2.3. Let $A$ be an $R$-complex and $f: C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism of complexes of $R$-modules . Then there exists a morphism from $\operatorname{cone}\left(A \otimes_{R}^{\infty} g\right)$ to $A \otimes_{R}^{\infty} \operatorname{cone}(f)$, where

$$
g_{n}= \begin{cases}f_{n} & \text { for } n \geqslant-1 \\ -f_{n} & \text { for } n<-1\end{cases}
$$

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.2.1.
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